

A Theory Based on Statistical State Dynamics for the Formation of Jets and Associated Density Layers in Stratified Turbulence

Joseph G. Fitzgerald¹†, and Brian F. Farrell¹

¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

Stratified turbulence is characterized by strong anisotropy and a red energy spectrum. Moreover, in many cases the energetic large scales consist of coherent horizontal structures such as vertically sheared horizontal flows, also called stacked jets. Examples of such jets in stratified geophysical flows include the equatorial deep jets in the oceans and the quasi-biennial oscillation in the stratosphere. The mechanism responsible for the formation and maintenance of these jets in stratified turbulence is not fully understood. In this work, the formation and equilibration of coherent turbulent jets is studied using the stochastically excited two-dimensional Boussinesq system. By applying the methods of statistical state dynamics (SSD), we evolve the statistics of the turbulent state forward in time directly, as state variables, rather than obtain them by averaging over realizations of the system. SSD is implemented in the form referred to as S3T, in which the SSD is expressed as a coupled dynamics for the horizontal mean structure and the ensemble mean two-point covariance function of the perturbations from this mean state. The S3T system is shown to reproduce the statistical behaviour, through second order, observed in simulations of the nonlinear Boussinesq dynamics. In particular, S3T captures the spontaneous emergence of jets and density layers in externally-maintained homogeneous turbulence. Analysis of the S3T system is used to explain the jet formation mechanism, the scale selection of the jets, the nonlinear equilibration of the jets, and the dependence of the horizontal mean structure on the stochastic excitation strength.

Key words:

1. Introduction

Turbulent jets are common in both geophysical and laboratory fluid systems. The banded winds of Jupiter (Vasavada & Showman 2005) provide a striking example in which the jet structure takes the form of planetary-scale zonal (east-west oriented) winds which oscillate in sign as a function of latitude. Other planetary-scale examples are provided by the Earth's polar jet stream (Vallis 2006) and the Antarctic Circumpolar Current in the Earth's Southern Ocean (Olbers *et al.* 2004). In the terrestrial examples the jets are observed to have a relatively simple vertical structure in which the mean flow primarily maintains one sign throughout the depth of the flow, and this is also thought to be the

† Email address for correspondence: jfitzgerald@fas.harvard.edu

case for the Jovian jets. Other geophysical jets have more complex vertical structure, particularly those jets occurring in turbulence that is strongly influenced by density stratification in the vertical. A noteworthy example is the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) of the Earth’s equatorial stratosphere, in which the zonal wind at a given altitude reverses direction approximately every fourteen months (Baldwin *et al.* 2001). These sign reversals are associated with downward propagation of a ‘stacked’ vertical jet structure in which the mean flow changes sign with altitude. Another prominent example is provided by the zonal jets observed in the stratified turbulence of the Earth’s equatorial oceans. These equatorial deep jets (EDJs) are zonal currents observed below ~ 1000 metres depth that are characterized by a stacked vertical jet structure in which the zonal flow reverses direction with depth (Eden & Dengler 2008). Stacked horizontal velocity structures are also found to develop spontaneously in non-rotating stratified laboratory flows driven by gravity waves (Plumb & McEwan 1978).

Large-scale zonal jets play important roles in the Earth’s climate. The atmospheric polar jet synergistically supports the baroclinic waves that constitute the primary structure of synoptic scale variability experienced as weather (Holton 2004), while the planetary-scale variability of the polar jet produces blocking events which modulate regional weather patterns on monthly time scales (Dole *et al.* 2011). The QBO exerts a statistical influence on the phenomenon of sudden stratospheric warmings, which have been associated with extreme events in the troposphere (Anstey & Shepherd 2014). The EDJs in the Atlantic play a role in ventilating the basin interior (Brandt *et al.* 2012) and may also influence cross-equatorial mixing (Send *et al.* 2002). It has also been suggested that the Atlantic EDJs modulate atmospheric variability by transporting energy from the deep ocean toward the surface (Brandt *et al.* 2011).

Although vertically stacked jets in stratified turbulence are both commonly observed and climatologically significant, theoretical understanding of the origin and maintenance of these coherent structures is not yet secure. Developing an understanding of this phenomenon in the case of jets in strongly stratified turbulence, as in the case of the EDJs, is the subject of this paper.

Attempts to theoretically explain the formation of large-scale structure from turbulence date back to Fjørtoft (1953) and Kraichnan (1967), who showed that nonlinear spectral broadening together with energy and vorticity conservation implies that energy is transferred, on average, from small scales to large scales in two-dimensional (2D) inviscid unstratified turbulence. This argument is consistent with the observed jets on Jupiter (Galperin *et al.* 2014), as the planetary-scale flow of the weather layer is believed to be both lightly damped and nearly 2D. Similar arguments have been made for the EDJs in which the jets are suggested to result from a nonlinear cascade in which baroclinic mode energy is funneled toward the equator (Salmon 1982). However, the Jovian jets have an intricate and time-invariant meridional structure including being closely associated with marginal stability according to the Rayleigh-Kuo inviscid stability criterion (Read *et al.* 2006). The vertical structure of the EDJs has not been as well established, but they are observed to be phase coherent over long times and large length scales (Youngs & Johnson 2015). General arguments based on the direction of spectral energy transfer predict that the large scales will be energized in these systems, but they do not predict the form of these observed coherent structures. Furthermore, jets occurring in stratified turbulence, such as the QBO and the EDJs, have dynamics that are strongly influenced by the conversion of energy between kinetic and potential forms. This process breaks the vorticity conservation law that underlies the inverse cascade of energy. Other theoretical proposals for the origins of the EDJs have been based on instabilities of finite-amplitude equatorial waves (Hua *et al.* 2008) and on the linear response of the equatorial ocean

to periodic wind forcing (Wunsch 1977; McCreary 1984). Although these mechanisms can produce high-wavenumber baroclinic structure near the equator, how this structure would remain coherent in the presence of turbulence remains an open question.

Idealized models are commonly employed in the attempt to understand the origin of geophysical turbulent jets, and in the case of Jupiter’s jets, models based on the stochastically excited barotropic vorticity equation have been used for this purpose (Williams 1978). In these models, stochastic excitation parameterizes excitation due to convection. Theoretical studies of stratified turbulence have used the stochastically excited 2D Boussinesq equations (Smith 2001) in which the stochastic excitation might be thought of as a simplified model of fluctuations propagating into the domain from the far field. Energetic stacked jets, also called vertically sheared horizontal flows or shear modes, emerge spontaneously in these simulations. Similar jets emerge in the stochastically excited three-dimensional (3D) Boussinesq system (Herring & Métais 1989; Waite & Bartello 2006; Brethouwer *et al.* 2007) and these jets are robust to the inclusion of rotation when the stratification is sufficiently strong (Smith & Waleffe 2002). Efforts to theoretically explain the emergence of horizontal flows in these simulations have focused on the nature of energy transfer among triads, emphasizing resonant interactions (Riley & Lelong 2000). Despite their similarities, connections between idealized stratified turbulence simulations and observed flows such as the QBO and EDJs have not been emphasized in the literature. We believe that 2D Boussinesq turbulence provides a model for jet formation in stratified turbulence similar to that provided by barotropic β -plane turbulence models for the origin and maintenance of turbulent jets at the planetary scale.

Statistical state dynamics (SSD) refers to a class of theoretical approaches to the analysis of stochastically fluctuating dynamical systems in which the dynamics are written directly for the statistical quantities of the system (Farrell & Ioannou 2016). A familiar example of SSD is the Fokker-Planck equation for the evolution of the probability distribution function of a system whose realizations evolve according to a stochastic differential equation. In this work we apply SSD in the form known as stochastic structural stability theory (S3T) (Farrell & Ioannou 2003) to investigate horizontal mean structure formation in the stochastically excited 2D Boussinesq system. By comparing the results of analysis of the S3T system to simulations made with the full nonlinear equations (NL), we show that S3T captures the essential features of the full system, including the emergence and structure of horizontal mean jets and associated density layers. In S3T, and the related system referred to as CE2 (for second-order cumulant expansion) (Marston 2010), nonlinearity due to eddy-eddy advection is either neglected or stochastically parameterized and the SSD is closed at second order. This second order closure has proven useful in the study of the interactions between turbulence and coherent structure in many turbulent systems that exhibit organization. These include the dynamics of jets in barotropic turbulence (Farrell & Ioannou 2007; Marston *et al.* 2008; Srinivasan & Young 2012; Tobias & Marston 2013; Bakas & Ioannou 2013; Constantinou *et al.* 2014; Parker & Krommes 2014), two-layer baroclinic turbulence (Farrell & Ioannou 2008, 2009a; Marston 2010, 2012), turbulence in the shallow-water equations on the equatorial β -plane (Farrell & Ioannou 2009b), drift wave-zonal flow turbulence in plasmas (Farrell & Ioannou 2009; Parker & Krommes 2013), unstratified 2D turbulence (Bakas & Ioannou 2011), rotating magnetohydrodynamics (Tobias *et al.* 2011), and 3D wall-bounded shear flow turbulence (Farrell & Ioannou 2012; Thomas *et al.* 2014, 2015; Farrell *et al.* 2016). Recently, advances in SSD theory have been made that allow for the analysis of the interactions between turbulence and coherent structures in more general circumstances in which the coherent large scales do not manifest as horizontal mean structure. Using these techniques S3T, and the related generalized quasi-linear

approximation, have been employed to investigate the dynamics of blocking in two-layer turbulence (Bernstein & Farrell 2010), non-zonal structures in barotropic turbulence (Bakas & Ioannou 2013, 2014; Bakas *et al.* 2015; Constantinou *et al.* 2016; Marston *et al.* 2016), the helical magnetorotational instability (Child *et al.* 2016), and rotating turbulent Couette flow (Tobias & Marston 2016). In this work, we demonstrate that jets and density layers form in 2D Boussinesq turbulence as a result of interactions between the horizontal mean structure and the turbulent field. A goal of the present work is to place 2D stratified Boussinesq turbulence into the mechanistic and phenomenological context of the mean flow-turbulence interaction mechanism that has been identified in these other turbulent jet systems.

S3T is the simplest nontrivial SSD and its formulation for the Boussinesq system involves expressing the perturbation vorticity and buoyancy variables in the form of ensemble mean two-point covariance functions. This second order SSD directly expresses the fundamental dynamics of the wave-mean flow interaction, *e.g.*, the statistical relationship between the turbulent eddy fluxes and the horizontal mean structure, producing a great simplification and clarification of the dynamics. This simplification discards the phase information pertaining to the incoherent turbulent perturbation fields, which is irrelevant to jet formation. The S3T dynamics provides tools that allow for the identification and analysis of cooperative phenomena and mechanisms operating in turbulence that are not accessible from the dynamics of a single realization. For example, we will show that in the S3T system, the initial formation of stacked jets occurs through a bifurcation associated with the onset of a linear instability caused by a statistical wave-mean flow interaction mechanism in which turbulent fluxes become organized in such a way as to reinforce the growing jet. This instability is a statistical phenomenon that lacks analytical expression in the dynamics of a single realization. However, the reflection of this phenomenon can be observed in realizations of the original system, and we will demonstrate that the jet structure that emerges in NL simulations arises as a reflection of this S3T instability. The S3T system also reveals subtle details of the turbulent equilibria that might not otherwise be detected from observing the NL simulations, including the turbulent modification of the horizontal mean stratification producing small-scale density layers. Although these layers are obscured by fluctuations in snapshots of the flow, time-averaging reveals that they coincide with the structure predicted by the S3T system.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In §2 we introduce the 2D stochastically excited Boussinesq equations and present NL simulation results demonstrating horizontal mean structure formation. In §3 we use SSD to illustrate the wave-mean flow interaction mechanism underlying jet formation and maintenance. In §4 we formulate the deterministic S3T system and the intermediate quasi-linear (QL) system, which provides a stochastic approximation to the second-order closure and bridges the gap between NL simulations and the S3T system. In §5 we show that the primary phenomena observed in NL simulations are captured by the QL and S3T systems. In §6 we carry out a linear stability analysis of the S3T system and relate the results to the scale selection problem controlling the structure of the emergent jets observed in the NL simulations. In §7 we analyze the finite-amplitude equilibration of the horizontal mean structure as a function of the strength of the stochastic excitation. In §8 we show that multiple simultaneously stable turbulent equilibrium states exist in this system, a phenomenon which is predicted by S3T and verified in the NL simulations. In §9 we compare the NL, QL, and S3T systems as a function of the excitation strength and show that the jet-forming bifurcation predicted by S3T is reflected in the NL and QL systems. We conclude and discuss these results in §10. Appendix A describes a simplified model system in which the mathematical

structure and conceptual utility of S3T is revealed simply. Appendix B provides analytical details required for the linear stability analysis.

2. Horizontal Mean Structure Formation in 2D Boussinesq Turbulence

We study turbulent jet formation in stratified flow using the 2D stochastically excited Boussinesq equations. We use a doubly-periodic (x, z) computational domain with equal periodicity lengths H in the x and z directions so the domain aspect ratio is equal to one. Periodicity in the horizontal (x) direction allows for horizontal jets to develop unimpeded by sidewalls and is appropriate when comparing theoretical results with atmospheric flows and laboratory annulus experiments. Although common in theoretical studies of stratified turbulence, periodicity in the vertical (z) direction is less physical since a background buoyancy profile with constant stratification such as $b_0 = N_0^2 z$ does not obey periodic boundary conditions. However, such a profile can be included without issue as only $\partial_z b_0$ enters the equations.

Anticipating the development of horizontal mean structure we use the horizontal mean as the averaging operator. The Reynolds-decomposed 2D Boussinesq equations are

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{u'w'} - r_m U + \nu \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{w'b'} - r_m B + \nu \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial z^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial t} = -U \frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial x} + w' \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - J(\psi', \Delta \psi') - r \Delta \psi' + \nu \Delta^2 \psi' + \sqrt{\varepsilon} S, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b'}{\partial t} = -U \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - w' \left(N_0^2 + \frac{\partial B}{\partial z} \right) - J(\psi', b') - r b' + \nu \Delta b'. \quad (2.4)$$

In these equations an overline indicates the horizontal mean operator and primes indicate deviations from the horizontal mean so that $f' = f - \bar{f}$. The velocity is $\mathbf{u} = (u, w)$ with u and w the horizontal and vertical velocity components, $U = \bar{u}$ is the horizontal mean horizontal velocity, b is the buoyancy with $B = \bar{b}$ the horizontal mean buoyancy, ψ is the streamfunction satisfying $(u, w) = (-\partial_z \psi, \partial_x \psi)$, and the vorticity is $\Delta \psi = \partial_x w - \partial_z u$ where $\Delta = \partial_{xx} + \partial_{zz}$ is the Laplacian operator. Eddy-eddy advection terms are written using the Jacobian $J(f, g) = (\partial_x f)(\partial_z g) - (\partial_x g)(\partial_z f)$. $\sqrt{\varepsilon} S$ denotes the stochastic excitation, which has zero horizontal mean and is applied to the vorticity equation only. ε is the rate at which the stochastic excitation injects energy into the flow. N_0 is the constant background buoyancy frequency. Dissipation is provided by Rayleigh drag and diffusion acting on both the buoyancy and vorticity fields. Dissipation rates for vorticity and buoyancy are equal and the Rayleigh drag on the mean fields (with coefficient r_m) is weaker than that on the perturbation fields (with coefficient r). This choice is intended to model turbulent dissipation in 3D which damps the large scales less strongly. We refer to equations (2.1)-(2.4) as the NL equations (for fully nonlinear) to distinguish them from the quasi-linear (QL) and S3T systems which we formulate in §4.

The inclusion of Rayleigh drag constitutes an important difference between our NL system and the standard equations used in nonlinear simulation studies of stratified turbulence (*e.g.*, Smith 2001). Rayleigh drag allows the system to reach statistical equilibrium relatively quickly, permitting analysis of the ultimate state of the emergent stacked jets. In nonlinear simulations, energy is typically observed to accumulate in jet structures over long timescales (*e.g.*, Brethouwer *et al.* 2007), but equilibrium jets have

not been obtained in the absence of dissipation acting on the large scales. Obtaining statistical equilibrium in our numerical integrations is also facilitated by use of the 2D system rather than the full 3D system. An important difference between the 2D and 3D Boussinesq equations is that the 3D equations admit vortical modes while the 2D equations do not. Although these vortical modes have been found to partially inhibit jet formation in 3D rotating stratified turbulence (Smith & Waleffe 2002), Rummel *et al.* (2013) recently compared the jet formation in the full 3D system to that in a reduced 3D model in which the vortical modes were removed from the dynamics and found that similar jet formation occurs with or without vortical modes, suggesting that jet formation in stratified turbulence results from interactions that can be captured in the 2D model system.

The stochastic excitation, $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$, in (2.3) can be chosen in a variety of ways. Here we choose the isotropic ring excitation with a white-noise correlation in time. Figure 1 shows a snapshot of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$ (panel (a)) and its wavenumber power spectrum (panel (b)), in which $\mathbf{k} = (k, m)$ is the vector wavenumber with k and m the horizontal and vertical wavenumber components. The excitation is homogeneous in space and approximately isotropic, with some anisotropy being introduced by the omission of the horizontal mean ($k = 0$) and vertical mean ($m = 0$) components of the excitation and also by the numerical discretization. We set the total wavenumber of the ring, k_e , to be global wavenumber six, $k_e/(2\pi H) = 6$. This choice of k_e represents a compromise between providing separation between the excitation scale and the domain scale while minimizing the effects of diffusion on perturbations at the excitation scale. The sensitivity of the system to k_e is discussed in §6. As the excitation is white in time, the rate at which energy is injected into the flow, ε , is a control parameter that is independent of the system state. Here we define the kinetic energy of the flow to be $K = [\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}]$ and the potential energy to be $V = [\frac{1}{2}N_0^{-2}b^2]$, with square brackets indicating the domain average. The total energy, $E = K + V$, is conserved in the absence of excitation and dissipation. The energy injection rate as a function of wavenumber, denoted $\varepsilon_{k,m}$, follows a Gaussian distribution centred at k_e so that $\varepsilon_{k,m} = \mathcal{N} \exp(-(|\mathbf{k}| - k_e)^2/\delta k^2)$, where $\delta k = 2\pi/H$ sets the ring thickness and $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization factor chosen so that the total energy injection rate summed over all wavenumbers, $\sum_{k,m} \varepsilon_{k,m}$, is equal to ε . Global horizontal wavenumbers 1 – 8 have nonzero excitation strengths and all higher horizontal wavenumbers are omitted from the excitation.

Equations (2.1)-(2.4) are nondimensionalized by choosing the unit of length to be the domain size, H , and the unit of time to be the Rayleigh damping time of the perturbations, $1/r$. In these units our domain is the doubly-periodic unit square. The nondimensional parameters of the problem are $\widehat{r}_m = r_m/r$, $\widehat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/(r^3 H^2)$, $\widehat{\nu} = \nu/(H^2 r)$, $\widehat{N}_0^2 = N_0^2/r^2$, $\widehat{k}_e = H k_e$, and $\widehat{\delta k} = H \delta k$. In this work we hold fixed the parameters $\widehat{r}_m = 0.1$, $\widehat{N}_0^2 = 10^3$, $\widehat{k}_e/2\pi = 6$, $\widehat{\delta k}/2\pi = 1$, and $\widehat{\nu} = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ unless otherwise stated. Our choice of $\widehat{r}_m = 0.1$ implies that the mean fields are damped ten times more weakly than the perturbation fields, and our value of $\widehat{\nu}$ was selected to ensure numerical convergence. The energy injection rate of the excitation $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ is not fixed and we vary it to determine the response of the system to changes in excitation.

We choose $\widehat{N}_0^2 = 10^3$ to place the system in the strongly stratified regime in which jets have previously been found to form (Smith 2001; Smith & Waleffe 2002). The strong stratification regime is also the regime relevant to the QBO and EDJ systems. For example, taking the equatorial deep stratification as $N_{\text{deep}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$, a typical gravity wave wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{GW}} \sim 10 \text{ km}$, and a lateral eddy viscosity of $\nu_{\text{eddy}} \sim 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ gives an effective Rayleigh drag coefficient of $r_{\text{eff}} \sim (2\pi/\lambda_{\text{GW}})^2 \nu_{\text{eddy}} \sim 4 \times$




FIGURE 1. Spatial structure of the stochastic excitation of the vorticity field, $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$. (a) A sample realization of the excitation pattern, shown in normalized form as $S(x, z, t)/\max[S(x, z, t)]$. (b) The wavenumber power spectrum of S , shown in normalized logarithmic form as $\ln(P(k, m)/\max[P(k, m)])$. Here we define $P(k, m) = \langle |\tilde{S}_{k,m}(t)|^2 \rangle$ in which $\tilde{S}_{k,m}(t)$ is the Fourier coefficient of the excitation when S is expanded as $S(x, z, t) = \sum_{k,m} \tilde{S}_{k,m}(t) e^{i(kx+mz)}$. Angle brackets indicate the ensemble average over noise realizations. The parameters of the excitation are $k_e/2\pi = 6$ and $\delta k/2\pi = 1$.

10^{-5} s^{-1} and so $\widehat{N}_{0,\text{EDJ}}^2 = N_{\text{deep}}^2/r_{\text{eff}}^2 \sim 2500$. The QBO lies deeper in the strongly-stratified limit. Taking a thermal damping time for stratospheric gravity waves as $1/r_{\text{thermal}} \sim 10$ days and the stratospheric stratification as $N_{\text{stratosphere}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ gives $\widehat{N}_{0,\text{QBO}}^2 = N_{\text{stratosphere}}^2/r_{\text{thermal}}^2 \sim 3 \times 10^8$. Although we do not attempt to model these specific systems, these estimates suggest that the results of this study of strongly stratified turbulent jets can guide intuition about these geophysical systems. For the remainder of the paper we work exclusively in terms of nondimensional parameters and drop hats in our notation.

We now summarize the behaviour of a particular NL simulation in which the system was integrated from rest over $t \in [0, 60]$ with $\varepsilon = 0.25$ and the other parameters as described above, which we refer to as the standard parameter case. To perform the numerical integration we use a 2D finite-difference configuration of the DIABLO fluid solver (Taylor 2008) which uses 512 gridpoints in both the x and z directions. In the standard parameter case the Froude number based on the excitation is $Fr = \sqrt{\varepsilon}k_e/N_0 \approx 0.6$. In §5 we will compare the first- and second-order statistical features of NL simulations with the results of the QL and S3T systems and provide physical explanations for some of the NL observations.

Snapshots and time series of the behaviour of the NL system are shown in figures 2, 3, and 4. Near the start of the integration (figure 2 (a,b)), the structure of the flow reflects the structure of the stochastic excitation and is incoherent with a dominant length scale corresponding to $1/k_e$. By $t = 60$ (figure 2 (c,d)) the system has evolved into a state in which the flow is dominated by horizontal ‘stripes’ in both the vorticity and streamfunction fields indicative of a horizontal mean jet structure, U , with vertical wavenumber $m_j/2\pi = 6$. The time evolution of U is shown in figure 3 (a). The jets form after ~ 15 time units and are persistent until the end of the integration. Figure 4 shows the time evolution of the kinetic energy of the jets ($\bar{K} = [\frac{1}{2}U^2]$) and of the perturbations ($K' = [\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{u}']$), demonstrating that the jets are the energetically dominant feature of the flow. In the statistical steady state ($t \gtrsim 20$), the kinetic energy of the jets is approximately six times that of the perturbations.

Although the phenomenon of horizontal jet emergence in this system is well-known,




FIGURE 2. Snapshots of the vorticity, streamfunction, and velocity fields for the standard case NL simulation showing the development of horizontal jets in turbulence. Just after initialization ($t = 2.5$), the vorticity field (a) and the streamfunction and associated velocity field (b) are characterized by perturbations at the scale of the excitation. The system evolves into a statistical equilibrium state by $t = 60$ in which the vorticity field (c) is dominated by horizontal stripes with alternating sign indicative of vertically-sheared stacked jets. The streamfunction and velocity field at $t = 60$ (d) shows that these jets are the dominant feature of the instantaneous flow. Parameters are set to the standard values $r_m = 0.1$, $N_0^2 = 10^3$, $k_e/2\pi = 6$, $\delta k/2\pi = 1$, $\nu = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$, and $\varepsilon = 0.25$.




FIGURE 3. Development of horizontal mean structure in the standard case NL simulation. (a) Hovmöller diagram showing the time evolution of the horizontal mean flow, U , which develops from noise at $t = 0$ into a persistent jet pattern with vertical wavenumber $m_j/2\pi = 6$ by $t \approx 15$. (b) Hovmöller diagram showing the time evolution of the horizontal mean stratification, $\overline{N^2}$, which develops into a pattern with vertical wavenumber $m_B/2\pi = 12$ that is phase-aligned with U so that regions of weak stratification coincide with the shear regions of the jet structure.




FIGURE 4. Kinetic energy evolution in the standard case NL simulation. In statistically steady state, the kinetic energy of the horizontal mean flow (dotted line) is approximately six times that of the perturbations from the mean (solid line).

the concurrent development of coherent horizontal mean structure in the buoyancy field has been less emphasized in the literature. Figure 3 (b) shows the time evolution of the horizontal mean stratification $\overline{N^2} = N_0^2 + \partial_z B$. Although $\overline{N^2}$ is more variable than U , it is clear that for these parameter values the turbulent fluxes systematically weaken the stratification ($\overline{N^2} < N_0^2$) in the shear regions of the jets. This association of mean stratification anomalies with the horizontal mean shear produces a vertical wavenumber signature in $\overline{N^2}$ of $m_B/2\pi = 12$, twice that of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet structure.

The statistical equilibrium horizontal mean state obtained by averaging the flow subsequent to a spin-up period of 30 time units is shown in figure 5. Panels (a) and (b) show that, for these parameters, U has a vertical structure that deviates somewhat from harmonic, with flattened shear regions resulting in a profile resembling a sawtooth structure. Comparison of panels (b) and (c) reveals that the shear maxima coincide with the minima of $\overline{N^2}$. These $\overline{N^2}$ minima correspond to narrow density layers in which $\overline{N^2}$ is reduced by $\sim 40\%$ relative to N_0^2 . Similar density layers have been reported in observations and simulations of the EDJs (Ménèsguen *et al.* 2009). As the vertical integral of $\overline{N^2} - N_0^2$ must vanish by periodicity, the narrow density layers are compensated by regions of enhanced stratification. These regions of enhanced stratification have a characteristic structure in which the $\overline{N^2}$ maxima occur just outside the maxima of U , with weak local minima of $\overline{N^2}$ occurring at the locations of the jet peaks. The locations of maximum shear and minimum stratification correspond to the local minima of the horizontal mean Richardson number, $\overline{Ri} = \overline{N^2}/(\partial_z U)^2$, as shown in figure 5 (d). The minimum value of $\overline{Ri}$ is near $\overline{Ri} \approx 0.8$, indicating that the time mean jets would be hydrodynamically stable by the Miles-Howard criterion in the absence of dissipation and excitation.

3. Mechanism of Horizontal Mean Structure Formation

In a statistically steady state U and B must be supported against dissipation by eddy flux divergences of momentum and buoyancy as expressed in (2.1)-(2.2). In the absence of any modification of the horizontal mean state (*i.e.*, if $U = B = 0$), isotropy of the stochastic excitation implies that the statistical mean eddy momentum flux vanishes ($\langle u'w' \rangle = 0$) and that the statistical mean eddy buoyancy flux is constant ($-\partial_z \langle w'b' \rangle = 0$). For the observed horizontal mean structures to emerge and persist, their presence must modify the fluxes so that the fluxes reinforce these structures. In this section we analyze the interaction between the turbulence and the horizontal mean state and demonstrate that the horizontal mean structures do in fact influence the turbulent fluxes in this




FIGURE 5. Vertical structure of the time average horizontal mean state in the standard case NL simulation. (a) Mean flow, U . (b) Mean shear, $\partial U/\partial z$. (c) Mean stratification, $\overline{N^2}$. (d) Mean Richardson number, $\overline{Ri} = \overline{N^2}/(\partial U/\partial z)^2$. The vertical dashed line indicates $\overline{Ri} = 1/4$. Profiles are time averages over $t \in [30, 60]$ of the structures shown in figure 3.

way. This analysis is performed by applying two modifications to (2.1)-(2.4). The first modification is to hold the mean fields constant as $U = U_{\text{test}}$, $B = B_{\text{test}}$. The second modification is to discard the eddy-eddy nonlinear terms $J(\psi', \Delta\psi')$ and $J(\psi', b')$ from equations (2.3)-(2.4). The resulting equations are

$$\frac{\partial \Delta\psi'}{\partial t} = -U_{\text{test}} \frac{\partial \Delta\psi'}{\partial x} + w' \frac{\partial^2 U_{\text{test}}}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - \Delta\psi' + \nu \Delta^2 \psi' + \sqrt{\varepsilon} S, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial b'}{\partial t} = -U_{\text{test}} \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - w' \overline{N^2}_{\text{test}} - b' + \nu \Delta b', \quad (3.2)$$

in which $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}} = N_0^2 + \partial_z B_{\text{test}}$.

Equations (3.1)-(3.2) are a system of linear differential equations for the perturbation fields. For this system, supposing that U_{test} and $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$ are chosen such that the dynamics are stable in the sense that all eigenvalues of the unexcited ($\varepsilon = 0$) system have negative real parts, the time mean fluxes are identical to the ensemble mean fluxes averaged over noise realizations. As such, either method of averaging can be used to calculate the average fluxes in the presence of the imposed horizontal mean state ($U = U_{\text{test}}$ and $\overline{N^2} = \overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$). We refer to the calculation of eddy fluxes from (3.1)-(3.2) as test function analysis, as it allows us to probe the turbulent dynamics by choosing appropriate test functions for U_{test} and $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$. This approach has been applied successfully to estimate eddy fluxes in the midlatitude atmosphere (Farrell & Ioannou 1993) and we will evaluate its effectiveness in the 2D Boussinesq system in §5. That the modified perturbation equations are capable of producing realistic eddy fluxes given the observed mean flow is related to the non-normality of the perturbation dynamics in the presence of shear (Farrell & Ioannou 1996). Non-normality produces both the positive and negative energetic perturbation-mean flow interactions that are correctly captured by the modified equations. The non-normal dynamics of perturbations in stratified shear flow have been analyzed in 2D (Farrell & Ioannou 1993) and in 3D (Kaminski *et al.* 2014).

As an illustrative example we show in figure 6 the results of test function analysis in the case of an imposed mean state comprised of a Gaussian jet peaked in the centre of the domain, $U_{\text{test}} = \exp(-50(z - \frac{1}{2})^2)$, and an unmodified background stratification,




FIGURE 6. Test function analysis showing the eddy flux divergences that develop in response to an imposed horizontal mean state consisting of a Gaussian jet and an unmodified background stratification. (a) Imposed jet, U_{test} . (b) Ensemble mean eddy momentum flux divergence, $-\partial_z \langle w'w' \rangle$, and the negative of the dissipation of the jet, $(r_m - \nu \partial_{zz})U_{\text{test}}$. (c) Imposed stratification, $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$, which is equal to N_0^2 in this example. (d) Ensemble mean stratification tendency due to eddy buoyancy fluxes, $-\partial_{zz} \langle w'b' \rangle$, and the negative of the dissipation of the stratification anomaly, $(r_m - \nu \partial_{zz})(\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}} - N_0^2)$, which is zero in this example. Profiles are smoothed in the vertical using a boxcar filter of width $\delta z = .02$. This example shows that the Gaussian jet organizes the turbulence so that the eddy momentum fluxes generally accelerate the jet. The buoyancy fluxes are also organized by the jet to produce a stratification tendency with a more complex vertical structure. Parameters are as in figure 2.

$\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}} = N_0^2$. The minimum value of $\overline{Ri}$ is $\overline{Ri} \approx 27$ and the $\varepsilon = 0$ system is stable. Panel (a) shows the imposed jet, U_{test} , while panel (b) shows the induced eddy momentum flux divergence, $-\partial_z \langle w'w' \rangle$, alongside the negative of the jet dissipation, $(r_m - \nu \partial_{zz})U_{\text{test}}$. The core of the jet is supported against dissipation by the eddy momentum fluxes. This organization of turbulence producing up-gradient momentum fluxes in the presence of a background shear flow is the essential mechanism of jet emergence: an initially weak jet that arises randomly out of the noise modifies the turbulence to produce fluxes reinforcing the initial jet. This mechanism is consistent with the results of rapid distortion theory for stratified shear flow (Galmiche & Hunt 2002) and has also been identified in nonlinear simulations of the decay of initially isotropic turbulence in the presence of shear and stratification (Galmiche *et al.* 2002).

As suggested by the results of the NL system shown in §2, the buoyancy fluxes are also modified by imposing a test function horizontal mean state. Figure 6 (c) shows the imposed stratification, $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$, which is equal to N_0^2 in this example. Figure 6 (d) shows the stratification tendency due to the eddy fluxes, $-\partial_{zz} \langle w'b' \rangle$, alongside the negative of the dissipation of the stratification anomaly, $(r_m - \nu \partial_{zz})(\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}} - N_0^2)$, which is zero in this Gaussian jet example as $\overline{N^2} = N_0^2$. The vertical structure of $-\partial_{zz} \langle w'b' \rangle$ is complex. For these parameter values the fluxes act to enhance $\overline{N^2}$ most strongly at the jet maximum, which departs from the NL results in which $\overline{N^2}$ has weak local minima at the locations of the jet maxima.

This simple example demonstrates the general physical mechanism of horizontal mean structures modifying turbulent fluxes so as to reinforce the mean state. However, the results of this example indicate that a Gaussian jet together with an unmodified background stratification does not constitute a steady state, as neither the jet acceleration




FIGURE 7. Test function analysis showing the eddy flux divergences that develop in response to an imposed horizontal mean state corresponding to that which emerges in the NL simulations shown in §2, with U_{test} and $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$ smoothed and symmetrized. Panels are as in figure 6. This example shows that the horizontal mean structure that emerges in the NL system organizes the turbulent fluxes so that these fluxes support the specific structure of the horizontal mean state against dissipation. Parameters are as in figure 2.

nor the stratification tendency due to the eddy fluxes reflect the specific structure of the imposed mean state ($U = U_{\text{test}}$ and $\overline{N^2} = \overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$). Although the eddy fluxes generally act to strengthen U , they also distort its structure by sharpening the jet core and driving retrograde jets on the flanks. Similarly, the $\overline{N^2} = N_0^2$ structure is not in equilibrium with the buoyancy fluxes. To maintain a statistically-steady horizontal mean state as seen in the NL system, the turbulence and the mean state must cooperate to produce horizontal mean structures for which the corresponding fluxes support the specific structure of that horizontal mean state against dissipation.

To demonstrate how such cooperative equilibria are established, we show in figure 7 the results of test function analysis applied to the case in which U_{test} (panel (a)) and $\overline{N^2}_{\text{test}}$ (panel (c)) are taken to be the time average profiles from the NL integration discussed in §2, smoothed and symmetrized so that the sixfold symmetry of the jets and twelvefold symmetry of $\overline{N^2}$ are made exact. As in the Gaussian jet example, the eddy momentum fluxes support the jet against dissipation (panel (b)). However, unlike the results obtained in the case of a Gaussian jet, the approximately harmonic jet that emerges in the NL system leads to flux divergences that are in phase with U itself, reinforcing the jet everywhere. This provides an explanation for the structure of the emergent NL jets: their approximately harmonic U profile is a structure for which the associated statistical equilibrium fluxes support the jet. Similarly, the structure of $\overline{N^2}$ is supported against dissipation by the stratification tendency due to eddy buoyancy fluxes. Some differences are apparent between the structures of the eddy driving and those of the dissipation in panels (b) and (d). In particular, the eddy driving of the jet is slightly too strong, and the eddy driving of the stratification anomaly is too strongly negative at the local stratification minima that coincide with the jet maxima. These differences arise because the horizontal mean jets and stratification anomaly tend to strengthen when eddy-eddy nonlinearities are discarded (see §5) and also because the smoothed stratification anomaly has a somewhat weaker local minimum than that which is found in snapshots of the NL system due to the turbulent fluctuations.

This analysis demonstrates that the linear dynamics of the stochastically excited

Boussinesq equations produces fluxes consistent with the emergent horizontal mean state seen in the NL system. In this sense, test function analysis provides a ‘mechanism denial study’ that demonstrates that spectrally-local eddy-eddy interactions associated with a cascade of energy to large scales are not required to form jets in stratified turbulence. However, our analysis has been conducted using an imposed, constant horizontal mean state. In the next section we extend (3.1)-(3.2) by coupling the dynamics of the mean fields to the linearized perturbation equations to formulate the S3T implementation of SSD for this system.

4. Formulating the QL and S3T Equations of Motion

The QL system is obtained by combining the perturbation equations (3.1)-(3.2) with the NL equations for the horizontal mean state (2.1)-(2.2). The resulting QL equations of motion are

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{u'w'} - r_m U + \nu \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{w'b'} - r_m B + \nu \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial z^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial t} = -U \frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - \Delta \psi' + \nu \Delta^2 \psi' + \sqrt{\varepsilon} S, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b'}{\partial t} = -U \frac{\partial b'}{\partial x} - w' \left(N_0^2 + \frac{\partial B}{\partial z} \right) - b' + \nu \Delta b'. \quad (4.4)$$

This system can also be obtained directly from the NL system (2.1)-(2.4) by discarding the eddy-eddy nonlinearities $J(\psi', \Delta \psi')$ and $J(\psi', b')$. The QL dynamics is a coupled system determining the consistent evolution of the horizontal mean state together with the stochastically excited turbulence in which the dynamics of the perturbation fields are linear in the perturbations. However, note that the QL system remains nonlinear due to the quadratic coupling between the mean state and the perturbations. The 2D Boussinesq equations in the QL approximation have also been applied to analyze mean flow formation in the case of an unstable background stratification (Fitzgerald & Farrell 2014).

Because (4.3)-(4.4) are linear in perturbation quantities, the QL system does not nonlinearly scatter perturbation energy into horizontal wavenumber components that are not stochastically excited. For our choice of excitation, only global horizontal wavenumbers 1 – 8 are excited. The QL system will therefore not exhibit the full range of small scale motions seen in the NL system. However, in §5 we compare the results of QL simulations with those of the NL system, and show that the QL system reproduces the large-scale structure formation observed in the NL system. This implies that the small scale structures produced by nonlinear scattering in the NL system do not strongly influence the horizontal mean state and that a faithful representation of the turbulence at all scales is inessential for understanding the statistical structure of the turbulence to second order.

Although the QL system constitutes a substantial mathematical and conceptual simplification compared to the NL system, QL dynamics remains stochastic and exhibits significant turbulent fluctuations. These fluctuations obscure the statistical relationships between the horizontal mean structure and the turbulent fluxes discussed in §3 under the assumption of a constant horizontal mean state. To understand how these statistical relationships operate in evolving turbulence it is useful to formulate a prognostic dynamics directly in terms of statistical quantities. We now formulate such a dynamics, the

S3T dynamics, for our system. S3T is a two-point statistical mean closure that captures the interactions between the horizontal mean state and the ensemble mean two-point covariance functions of the perturbation fields which determine the turbulent fluxes. For readers unfamiliar with S3T, Appendix A provides a derivation of the S3T equations for a highly simplified version of stratified turbulence illustrating the conceptual utility of this closure in the context of this simplified system.

Derivation of the S3T dynamics is simplest in Fourier variables and begins with the QL equations (4.1)-(4.4). We expand the perturbation fields in horizontal Fourier series as

$$\psi'(x, z, t) = \text{Re} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \tilde{\psi}_n(z, t) e^{ik_n x} \right], \quad (4.5)$$

$$b'(x, z, t) = \text{Re} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \tilde{b}_n(z, t) e^{ik_n x} \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Here N_k is the number of Fourier modes retained in the problem ($N_k = 8$ for our choice of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$) and $k_n = 2\pi n$. Considering the Fourier coefficients as vectors in the discretized numerical system (*e.g.*, $\tilde{\psi}_n(z, t) \rightarrow \psi_n(t)$), the QL equations (4.3)-(4.4) can be combined into the vector equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n \\ \mathbf{b}_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B}) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n \\ \mathbf{b}_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n = \Delta_n^{-1} \mathbf{S}_n$ is the n th horizontal Fourier component of the stochastic excitation of the streamfunction. Here $\Delta_n = -k_n^2 \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^2$ in which $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix and $\mathbf{D}$ is the discretized vertical derivative operator. The linear dynamical operator $\mathbf{A}_n$, which depends on the wavenumber k_n and on the horizontal mean structures $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, is given by the expression

$$\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} -ik_n \Delta_n^{-1} \text{diag}(\mathbf{U}) \Delta_n + ik_n \Delta_n^{-1} \text{diag}(\mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{I} + \nu \Delta_n & ik_n \Delta_n^{-1} \\ -ik_n N_0^2 \mathbf{I} - ik_n \text{diag}(\mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}) & -ik_n \text{diag}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{I} + \nu \Delta_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.8)$$

in which $\text{diag}(\mathbf{v})$ denotes the diagonal matrix whose nonzero elements are given by the entries of the column vector $\mathbf{v}$.

We now make the ergodic assumption that horizontal averages and ensemble averages are equivalent, so that $U = \bar{u} = \langle u \rangle$, $\overline{u'w'} = \langle u'w' \rangle$, and so forth. For our system, which is statistically horizontally symmetric, this assumption is plausible in a domain large enough so that several approximately-independent eddies are found at each height as seen, *e.g.*, in figure 2 (b). We note that, since U and B are driven by the horizontal mean eddy fluxes, this assumption implies that $U = \langle U \rangle$ and $B = \langle B \rangle$ at each instant. It can then be shown (using the fact that $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$ is a white noise process) that the ensemble mean covariance matrix, defined as

$$\mathbf{C}_n = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n \\ \mathbf{b}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n^\dagger & \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \psi_n \psi_n^\dagger \rangle & \langle \psi_n \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{b}_n \psi_n^\dagger \rangle & \langle \mathbf{b}_n \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} & \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} \\ \mathbf{C}_{b\psi,n}^\dagger & \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.9)$$

in which daggers indicate Hermitian conjugation, evolves according to the time-dependent

Lyapunov equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{C}_n \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B})^\dagger + \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_n, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \boldsymbol{\xi}_n^\dagger \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_n$ is the ensemble mean covariance matrix of the stochastic excitation and has nonzero entries only in the upper left block matrix as we apply excitation only to the vorticity field. Equation (4.10) constitutes the perturbation dynamics of the S3T system and is the S3T analog of the QL equations (4.3)-(4.4).

To complete the derivation of the S3T system it remains to write the mean equations (4.1)-(4.2) in terms of the covariance matrix. The ensemble mean eddy flux divergences can be written as functions of the covariance matrix as

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \langle u'w' \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd} (\Delta_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n})], \quad (4.12)$$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \langle w'b' \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n})], \quad (4.13)$$

in which $\text{vecd}(\mathbf{M})$ denotes the vector comprised of the diagonal elements of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$. The mean state dynamics then become

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd} (\Delta_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n})] - r_m \mathbf{U} + \nu \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{U}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{B} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n})] - r_m \mathbf{B} + \nu \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{B}. \quad (4.15)$$

Equations (4.10), (4.14), and (4.15) together constitute the S3T equations of motion. The S3T system is deterministic and autonomous and so directly reveals the evolving relationships between the statistical quantities of the turbulence up to second order, including fluxes and horizontal mean structures, without the turbulent fluctuations inherent in the dynamics of particular realizations of turbulence, such as those present in the NL and QL systems. In the next section we demonstrate that the QL and S3T systems reproduce the major statistical phenomena observed in the NL system.

5. Comparison of the NL, QL, and S3T Systems

The most striking feature of the NL simulation discussed in §2 is the spontaneous development of an energetic horizontal mean flow, U , with $\max(U) \approx 1$ and vertical wavenumber $m_j/2\pi = 6$. The horizontal mean stratification, $\overline{N^2}$, is also modified by the turbulence, and develops a structure with vertical wavenumber $m_B/2\pi = 12$ in phase with U such that weakly-stratified density layers develop in the regions of maximum mean shear. The jets in the NL system are approximately steady in time, while the horizontal mean stratification is more variable. In this section we compare these NL results to the behaviour of the QL and S3T systems for the same parameter values. We initialize the QL system from rest, matching the procedure used for the NL system. We initialize the S3T system with $\mathbf{C}_n$ corresponding to homogeneous turbulence together with a weak $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet and additional small noise (for further details see §6).

Figures 8 (a) and (c) show the time evolution of the horizontal mean flow in the QL




FIGURE 8. Horizontal mean structure formation in the QL and S3T systems. Panels show Hovmöller diagrams of (a) U in the QL system, (b) $\overline{N^2}$ in the QL system, (c) U in the S3T system, and (d) $\overline{N^2}$ in the S3T system. This figure demonstrates that the QL and S3T systems reproduce the phenomenon of spontaneous jet and density layer formation shown in figure 3 for the NL system. Parameters are as in figure 2.

and S3T systems (see figure 3 to compare with the NL system). The QL and S3T systems develop $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet structures and we compare the U profiles in the QL, NL, and S3T systems in figure 9 (b). For the NL and QL systems the profiles are time averaged over $t \in [30, 60]$, while for the S3T system we show the U state after the S3T system has reached a fixed point. The aligned jet structures agree well across the three systems. The time evolution of the horizontal mean stratification, $\overline{N^2}$, in the QL and S3T systems is shown in figures 8 (b) and (d). Like the NL stratification, the QL profile of $\overline{N^2}$ develops an $m_B/2\pi = 12$ structure that is more variable in time than are the jets and is phase-aligned with U so that $\overline{N^2}$ is weakest in the regions of strongest shear. The S3T system behaves similarly but is free of fluctuations. The evolution of $\overline{N^2}$ in the S3T system also reveals that the vertical structure of $\overline{N^2}$ changes over time. During the development of the jets ($t \lesssim 8$), the stratification is enhanced in the regions of strongest shear. As the jets begin to equilibrate at finite amplitude, the $\overline{N^2}$ profile reorganizes such that the shear regions are the most weakly stratified. This reorganization may also occur in the NL and QL systems but is difficult to identify due to the fluctuations present in these systems.

Figure 9 (a) shows the evolution of the mean and perturbation kinetic energies of the NL, QL and S3T systems. The growth rate of mean kinetic energy is similar in all systems. The equilibrium mean energies differ somewhat between the systems, with the S3T and QL jets having more energy than the NL jets. The relative weakness of the NL jets may be due to the scattering of eddy energy to small scales by the eddy-eddy




FIGURE 9. Comparison of the kinetic energy evolution and U profiles in the NL, QL, and S3T systems. (a) Mean and perturbation kinetic energy evolution. (b) Aligned U profiles. The NL and QL profiles are averaged over $t \in [30, 60]$ and the S3T profile is taken to be the state after the S3T system reaches a fixed point. This figure demonstrates that jet emergence in the S3T and QL systems occurs with similar structure and energy evolution to that which occurs in the NL system. Parameters are as in figure 2.




FIGURE 10. Vertical structure of the horizontal mean states of the QL and S3T systems. Panels are as in figure 5 with solid lines showing the S3T state and dotted lines showing the QL state. This figure demonstrates that the QL and S3T systems capture the structure of the horizontal mean state in the NL system, including the phase relationship between U and N^2 . Parameters are as in figure 2.

advection terms that are included in NL but not in QL or S3T. The temporal variability of the NL and QL jets, as indicated by the fluctuations in $\bar{K}$, is similar in the stochastic NL and QL systems. The jets in S3T are time-independent once equilibrium has been reached as the S3T jets correspond to a fixed point of the S3T dynamics.

The relationship between the U and N^2 structures is shown in figure 10 for the QL (dotted curves) and S3T (solid curves) systems (see figure 5 to compare with the NL system). The equilibrium horizontal mean structures in the QL and S3T systems agree well with those of the NL system. The U profiles (panel (a)) are approximately harmonic with somewhat flattened shear regions and, remarkably, the detailed structure of N^2 seen in the NL integration is reproduced by the QL and S3T systems (panel (c)), which discard eddy-eddy nonlinear interactions. In particular, the presence of a weak local stratification minimum at the locations of the jet peaks is captured by the QL and S3T systems.




FIGURE 11. Comparison of the wavenumber power spectra of kinetic and potential energy in the NL, QL, and S3T systems. The top row shows the K spectra of the (a) NL, (b) QL, and (c) S3T systems, while the bottom row (d)-(f) shows the V spectra of those systems. Spectra are shown in terms of their natural logarithms and no normalization is performed. This figure demonstrates that the QL and S3T systems reproduce structural details of the turbulence beyond the horizontal mean state, including the enhancement of K and V at the excitation scale and at a set of wavenumbers associated with the shearing of the excited ring by the horizontal mean jet. Parameters are as in figure 2.

The above comparisons demonstrate that the horizontal mean structures and domain mean kinetic energies of the QL and S3T systems show good agreement with those of the NL system. Figure 11 compares the power spectra of kinetic and potential energy in the three systems. Panel (a) shows the kinetic energy spectrum of the NL model. The dominant feature of the K spectrum is the concentration of energy at $(k, m) = 2\pi(0, 6)$ which corresponds to the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ mean flow structure. The energy of the mean flow is also spread across the neighbouring vertical wavenumbers, reflecting both the deviation of the structure of the jets from a pure harmonic and also that fluctuations in the jet structure project onto nearby vertical wavenumbers. Away from the $k = 0$ axis, the most striking features of the K spectrum are the concentration of energy on the ring of excited wavenumbers $k^2 + m^2 = k_e^2$, and the reflections of this ring at higher m values. These reflections are due to the shearing of the ring by the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet, which produces the sum and difference wavenumber components.

The characteristic features of the K spectrum of the NL system are captured by the QL and S3T systems. The QL K spectrum (figure 11 (b)) reproduces the energetic dominance of the jet over the eddy field, the concentration of energy at k_e , and the reflections of the excited ring structure at higher vertical wavenumbers. The primary difference between the K spectra of the NL and QL systems is that the NL system scatters some kinetic energy into the unexcited part of the horizontal wavenumber spectrum ($|k|/2\pi > 8$), whereas these unexcited wavenumber components have no energy in the QL system. The S3T K spectrum (figure 11 (c)) also shows the characteristic features of the NL spectrum, but some differences between the S3T spectrum and those of the NL and QL systems are also visible. In the S3T system the jet energy is more strongly concentrated in the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ harmonic than it is in the NL and QL systems. Additionally, the concentration of energy at the excited ring and its reflections at higher m are more distinct in the S3T system than in the NL and QL systems, in which the gaps between the reflections are

filled in by a broad background spectrum. These differences between the spectrum of S3T and those of the NL and QL systems may be due to the absence of fluctuations in the S3T system which are present in the stochastic NL and QL systems. Noise in the stochastic systems produces jet fluctuations that spread mean flow energy into $k = 0$ modes neighbouring the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ harmonic. These transient jet fluctuations may also contribute to producing the broad background spectrum seen in the NL and QL systems by shearing the ring of excited wavenumbers.

The power spectrum of potential energy in the NL system is shown in figure 11 (d). Unlike the K spectrum, which was dominated by the horizontal mean flow U , the V spectrum is not dominated by the horizontal mean buoyancy B , although a moderate peak is evident at the $m_B/2\pi = 12$ component. In this sense, the horizontal mean jets are ‘manifest’ structures, whereas the horizontal mean density layers are ‘latent’ structures (Berloff *et al.* 2009). The primary features of the spectrum are the concentration of potential energy at the ring wavenumber and the reflections of the ring at higher vertical wavenumbers as was found for the K spectrum. The V spectra for the QL and S3T systems are shown in figures 11 (e) and (f). The QL and S3T spectra capture the concentration of potential energy at the excitation scale and the reflections of the ring at higher m . The differences between the three V spectra are similar to those identified when comparing the three K spectra.

The comparisons presented in this section indicate that the approximations made in developing the QL and S3T systems do not strongly modify the essential statistical properties of the turbulence up to second order. The S3T system captures the behaviour of the NL system emphasizing the features associated with coherent structures and eliminating the impediments to analysis associated with noise fluctuations. Motivated by these results we proceed in the rest of this paper to further analyze this idealized stratified turbulence system using S3T.

6. Linear Stability Analysis of the S3T System

In the previous section we showed that the S3T system reproduces the essential statistical features of the NL system, including both the structure of the horizontal mean state as well as the spectral characteristics of the perturbation field. The S3T system can be understood and analyzed with much greater clarity than the NL system because the S3T system is a deterministic and autonomous dynamical system and is amenable to the usual techniques of dynamical systems analysis. In this section we show that the emergence of horizontal mean structure in 2D stratified turbulence can be traced to a linear instability in the SSD of the stationary state of homogeneous turbulence. To determine the properties of this instability, and in particular to understand how the vertical scale of the emergent jets is selected, we now perform a linear stability analysis of the S3T system.

Before linearizing the S3T system it is first necessary to obtain the fixed point statistical state that is unstable to jet formation. As shown in §5, the equilibrium state with a finite amplitude jet and modified horizontal mean stratification is a fixed point of the S3T system. However, the fixed point of the S3T system whose stability we wish to analyze is the jet-free state of homogeneous turbulence driven by the stochastic excitation and equilibrated by dissipation. This homogeneous state is obscured in the NL and QL systems, both by noise fluctuations and by the development of the mean flow, but roughly corresponds to the interval of nearly constant perturbation kinetic energy at early times ($t \lesssim 5$) in figure 9 (a). If homogeneous turbulence is unstable we obtain an explanation




FIGURE 12. Spectral structure of the jet-free homogeneous S3T fixed point. (a) Kinetic energy spectrum. (b) Potential energy spectrum. The spectra are shown in terms of their natural logarithms and no normalization is performed. The K and V spectra are nearly identical to one another, even though only the vorticity field is stochastically excited, due to the strong stratification. This figure shows that the homogeneous turbulence from which jets emerge inherits its structure directly from the stochastic excitation whose structure is shown in figure 1.

for the observed jet formation, since the alternative possibility of sustained homogeneous turbulence is not possible in the presence of small perturbations.

For homogeneous turbulence $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{B} = 0$ and from (4.10) the steady-state perturbation covariance matrix at wavenumber k_n obeys,

$$\mathbf{A}_n^* \mathbf{C}_n^* + \mathbf{C}_n^* \mathbf{A}_n^* + \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_n = 0, \quad (6.1)$$

where the $\mathbf{A}_n^*$ operator is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I} + \nu \Delta_n & \mathrm{i} k_n \Delta_n^{-1} \\ -\mathrm{i} k_n N_0^2 \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} + \nu \Delta_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.2)$$

Equation (6.1) can be solved analytically for $\mathbf{C}_n^*$, and we show details of the solution in Appendix B. Figure 12 shows the kinetic and potential energy spectra for this fixed point homogeneous turbulent state.

To analyze the linear stability of this homogeneous turbulent state we expand the full S3T state, $(\mathbf{C}_n, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B})$, in a first order Taylor series about the fixed point, $(\mathbf{C}_n^*, 0, 0)$, as

$$\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{C}_n^* + \delta \mathbf{C}_n, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \delta \mathbf{U}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \delta \mathbf{B}, \quad (6.5)$$

where the δ notation indicates that the first order terms are treated as infinitesimal perturbations. We expand the operator $\mathbf{A}_n$ in (4.8) as

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{A}_n^* + \delta \mathbf{A}_n, \quad (6.6)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_n^*$ given by (6.2) and

$$\delta \mathbf{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i} k_n \Delta_n^{-1} \mathrm{diag}(\delta \mathbf{U}) \Delta_n + \mathrm{i} k_n \Delta_n^{-1} \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{D}^2 \delta \mathbf{U}) & 0 \\ -\mathrm{i} k_n \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{D} \delta \mathbf{B}) & -\mathrm{i} k_n \mathrm{diag}(\delta \mathbf{U}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.7)$$

The linearized equations of motion are

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta\mathbf{U} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd}(\Delta_n \delta\mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n})] - r_m \delta\mathbf{U} + \nu \mathbf{D}^2 \delta\mathbf{U}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta\mathbf{B} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \frac{k_n}{2} \text{Im} [\text{vecd}(\mathbf{D} \delta\mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n})] - r_m \delta\mathbf{B} + \nu \mathbf{D}^2 \delta\mathbf{B}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{A}_n^* \delta\mathbf{C}_n + \delta\mathbf{C}_n \mathbf{A}_n^{\star\dagger} + \delta\mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{C}_n^* + \mathbf{C}_n^* \delta\mathbf{A}_n^\dagger. \quad (6.10)$$

As usual in linear stability analysis, we express the solutions of (6.8)-(6.10) in terms of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the system. The natural matrix form of the S3T equations obscures the operator-vector structure of the linearized system. The most direct technique for conducting the eigenanalysis is to rewrite the equations in superoperator form by unfolding the matrices $\delta\mathbf{C}_n$ (Farrell & Ioannou 2002). This technique results in linear operators of very high dimension for which eigenanalysis is expensive. We use an alternate method to obtain the eigenstructures in which the linearized equations are rewritten as coupled Sylvester equations (see Appendix B in Constantinou *et al.* 2014).

We note that equations (6.8)-(6.10) decouple into two separate eigenproblems: one determining the eigenmodes involving mean flow perturbations $\delta\mathbf{U}$, which have $\delta\mathbf{B} = 0$, and a separate eigenproblem determining the eigenmodes involving mean buoyancy perturbations $\delta\mathbf{B}$, which have $\delta\mathbf{U} = 0$. The eigenproblem involving $\delta\mathbf{U}$ gives unstable eigenmodes associated with growing jets for the parameter regime we address in this work, while the mean buoyancy eigenproblem appears to have only stable eigenmodes in our parameter regime. The mean buoyancy eigenproblem is therefore irrelevant, in our parameter range, to horizontal mean structure formation and we focus on the eigenproblem concerning $\delta\mathbf{U}$.

We now describe the results of the eigenanalysis of equations (6.8)-(6.10). As the fixed point underlying the linearization corresponds to homogeneous turbulence, the eigenfunctions have harmonic structure in z so that $\delta\mathbf{U}$ and $\delta\langle\overline{u'w'}\rangle$ are both proportional to $e^{st}e^{im_j z}$. For each m_j permitted by the periodic domain there is a dominant eigenmode with eigenvalue $s(m_j)$. For the parameter range we study, we find that these eigenvalues are real, corresponding to structures for which the eddy momentum flux divergence, $-\partial_z \delta\langle\overline{u'w'}\rangle$, and the mean flow, $\delta\mathbf{U}$, are aligned in phase.

Figure 13 summarizes how the dominant eigenvalue, s , which is the jet growth rate, depends on m_j and on the control parameters k_e , N_0^2 , and ε . The dashed curve in panel (a) shows the jet growth rate as a function of m_j for the standard parameter case with $\varepsilon = 0.25$. Jets with $1 \leq m_j/2\pi \leq 10$ have positive growth rates, with the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ structure having the fastest growth rate. This eigenvalue problem thus predicts that $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jets will emerge from the turbulence, consistent with the structure of the emergent jets discussed in §2 and §5. This result motivated our choice to initialize the S3T system as a weak $m_j/2\pi = 6$ mean flow together with $\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{C}_n^*$ in §5. For sufficiently small initial noise the emergent structure will be that of the fastest growing eigenmode, so it is convenient to initialize the system with this jet structure. The solid curve in panel (a) shows the jet growth rates for the standard parameter case except with $k_e/2\pi = 12$ so that the excitation is at a smaller scale. Increasing k_e shifts the peak of the growth rate curve toward larger m_j values, resulting in smaller scale emergent jets, and also enhances the jet growth rates.

Figure 13 (b) shows the dependence of s on m_j and ε for the standard parameter case with $k_e/2\pi = 6$. For jets with $1 \leq m_j/2\pi \leq 11$ the growth rate becomes positive




FIGURE 13. Growth rates of the eigenmodes responsible for jet formation in the S3T system. (a) Growth rate as a function of the jet wavenumber m_j for $\varepsilon = 0.25$ and two different excitation structures: $k_e/2\pi = 6$ (dotted) and $k_e/2\pi = 12$ (solid). (b) Growth rate as a function of m_j and ε for $k_e/2\pi = 6$. Note the logarithmic ε axis. (c) Growth rate as a function of m_j for $k_e/2\pi = 12$ and four values of N_0^2 . (d) Growth rate of the fastest growing jet structure as a function of N_0^2 for $k_e/2\pi = 6$. This figure shows that the scale of the emergent jets is most sensitive to changes in the spectral structure of the excitation, but is also affected by the background stratification and the energy injection rate. Unless otherwise specified, parameters are as in figure 2.

for sufficiently large ε . For jets in this wavenumber band, the eddy fluxes reinforce the infinitesimal jets and s increases with increasing ε . For jets outside this band, with $m_j/2\pi \geq 12$, the eddy fluxes oppose the jets so that the growth rate becomes increasingly negative as ε increases. The dashed line shows the $s = 0$ stability boundary. The homogeneous turbulence first becomes unstable near $\varepsilon \approx .042$ to jets with $m_j/2\pi = 5$. As ε increases, the growth rate of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet structure exceeds that of the $m_j/2\pi = 5$ jet structure so that for the standard parameter case with $\varepsilon = 0.25$ six jets emerge in the turbulence.

The sensitive dependence of the jet growth rate curve on k_e shown in figure 13 (a) suggests that in stratified turbulence the spectral structure of the perturbation field, which in our idealized problem is set by k_e , is the primary determinant of the emergent jet scale near the stability boundary. This is consistent with dimensional analysis. There are three control parameters with dimensions involving length: k_e , ε , and ν . As ν is included only to ensure numerical convergence, $\nu \rightarrow 0$ in the continuous limit and should not affect the stability problem. The excitation strength ε is a natural bifurcation parameter for the problem, with slowly-growing jets associated with unstable eigenmodes emerging at a critical excitation strength $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_c$ which is determined by the other control parameters. Near the instability onset, ε is thus near ε_c and is approximately slaved to the other control parameters. The remaining relevant parameter is k_e , which we expect to set the

jet scale near the bifurcation point. Note that we have not considered the ring thickness δk as we hold it fixed in this work, but δk also shapes the spectral structure of the homogeneous turbulence and likely influences the jet scale.

Figures 13 (c) and (d) show how N_0^2 influences scale selection. In panel (c) we show s as function of m_j for four N_0^2 values with $k_e/2\pi = 12$ and $\varepsilon = 0.25$. As N_0^2 increases, s decreases and the peak (indicated by the vertical lines) shifts toward smaller m_j . For very large N_0^2 the largest values of s occur for jets at the domain scale with $m_j/2\pi = 1$. However, unless ε is also very large the homogeneous turbulent state will remain stable and domain-scale jets will not emerge, because s decreases rapidly as N_0^2 becomes large. The dependence of s on N_0^2 is shown directly in panel (d), which shows $\max[s(m_j)]$, where the maximum is taken over m_j , as a function of N_0^2 . For small N_0^2 , all modes have negative growth rates. This result depends on the details of the stochastic excitation. In Appendix A we describe a simple model which has the property that $s > 0$ as $N_0^2 \rightarrow 0$, a result which was also obtained for a non-isotropic excitation in Bakas & Ioannou (2011). As N_0^2 increases from zero s increases to a maximum near $N_0^2 \approx 10^2$. This increase in growth rate is associated with the strengthening of the feedback between the jets and the turbulence described in §3. The dependence of the S3T wave-mean flow feedback on the parameter that sets the wave restoring force has been explained analytically in terms of wave dynamics by Bakas & Ioannou (2013) for the case of barotropic turbulence on the β -plane. For $N_0^2 \gtrsim 10^3$ the growth rate falls off as $\sim 1/N_0^2$ and approaches a constant asymptotic value as $N_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$ that is set by the dissipation parameters.

Results of this section support the implication from dimensional analysis that k_e sets the scale of the emergent jets. Although ε and N_0^2 have some influence on the scale of the jets, the emergent jet scale is much more sensitive to changes in k_e than it is to changes in the other control parameters. In realistic turbulence, the implication of this result is that we expect the spectral characteristics of the background turbulence to imprint strongly on the jet scale if the turbulence is sufficiently close to the stability boundary. For jets experiencing excitation far beyond the stability boundary the jet scale may change due to the onset of secondary instabilities, which we discuss in §7.

7. Equilibration of Horizontal Mean Structure

In §5 we showed that the S3T system initialized with a weak $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet perturbation evolves into an equilibrium state with the same jet wavenumber (figures 8 and 9). We now analyze how the structure of this finite-amplitude equilibrium depends on the control parameters.

Figure 14 (a, solid curve) shows the maximum value of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ equilibrium U structure, maximized over z , as a function of ε . The dotted curve shows an estimate of U from a simple momentum balance model which we will explain later in this section. As suggested by the stability analysis in §6, the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jets form near $\varepsilon \approx 0.044$ when the growth rate of the corresponding eigenmode crosses zero. The jet-forming bifurcation is supercritical, with the jets equilibrating at weak amplitude just beyond the bifurcation point. Near the bifurcation point U increases rapidly with ε , with this rate of increase slowing as ε increases.

The structure of the horizontal mean state depends on ε . Figures 14 (b)-(e) (dotted curves) show the horizontal mean structure of the marginally-supercritical equilibrium at $\varepsilon = 0.08$. The jet structure is similar to that of the unstable $m_j/2\pi = 6$ harmonic eigenmode. The phase relationship between U and $\bar{N}^2$ differs from that found in the more strongly excited $\varepsilon = 0.25$ case discussed in §2 and §5. In the $\varepsilon = 0.08$ case of weak equilibration the stratification is enhanced in the shear regions, rather than weakened,




FIGURE 14. Equilibration of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ horizontal mean state in the S3T system as a function of ε . (a) Maximum value of U , maximized over z , for the stable S3T fixed point with $m_j/2\pi = 6$ (solid). This fixed point becomes secondarily unstable near $\varepsilon = 0.55$ and the dashed continuation shows the amplitude of the unstable solution. The dotted curve shows the estimate of the amplitude of U from the simple momentum balance model (see text). Panels (b)-(e) show the vertical structure of the horizontal mean state as in figure 5 with dotted curves indicating the $\varepsilon = 0.08$ state and solid curves indicating the $\varepsilon = 0.54$ state. This figure shows that weak equilibration of the jets is captured by the simple momentum balance model and that the U and $\overline{N^2}$ structures, and their phase relationship to one another, vary as ε is increased. Unless otherwise specified, parameters are as in figure 2.

and $\overline{Ri}$ is large for all z due to the weak shear. The solid curves in figures 14 (b)-(e) show the horizontal mean structure of the $\varepsilon = 0.54$ equilibrium. For this more strongly supercritical equilibrium, the shear regions are characterized by weakened stratification and $\overline{Ri} < 1/4$. This structure is similar to that shown in figure 10 for the $\varepsilon = 0.25$ case, but with stronger shear and smaller $\overline{Ri}$ values. The jets remain hydrodynamically stable (*i.e.*, all eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}_n$ have negative real parts) despite having $\overline{Ri} < 1/4$ due to the dissipation acting on the perturbation fields. When ε is further increased, the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ fixed point becomes secondarily unstable, indicated by the dashed continuation of the solid curve in figure 14 (a).

The changing phase relationship between U and $\overline{N^2}$ shown in figure 14 that occurs as a function of ε mirrors the change in this relationship shown in figure 8 that occurs as a function of time. Comparison of figures 8 (c) and (d) shows that when the developing jets are weak $\overline{N^2}$ is enhanced at the shear maxima. When the jets become strong, the stratification is reorganized by the turbulent fluxes so that $\overline{N^2}$ is minimized at the shear maxima.

The mechanism of jet equilibration at weak amplitude can be understood using a simple momentum balance model based on the test function analysis of §3. To construct the simple model we first approximate the horizontal mean state as $U = U_0 \sin(m_j z)$




FIGURE 15. Illustration of the simple momentum balance model for weakly supercritical jet equilibration for $\varepsilon = 0.08$ and $m_j/2\pi = 6$, with other parameters as in figure 2. The solid curve shows the projection of the eddy momentum flux divergence, calculated using the test function analysis of §3, onto the assumed harmonic jet structure. The dashed line shows the dissipation acting on the jet, given by $(r_m + \nu m_j^2)U_0$. The simple model estimate of the equilibrium jet amplitude is the value of U_0 at which these terms balance one another. The vertical dotted line indicates the equilibrium jet amplitude obtained from the full S3T system. This figure demonstrates that the dynamics of weakly supercritical jet equilibration is captured by the simple balance model.

and $B = 0$, where U_0 is the equilibrium jet amplitude that we will estimate. We then estimate the jet acceleration due to the induced eddy momentum fluxes as a function of U_0 using (3.1)-(3.2). Our estimate of the equilibrium jet amplitude is the value of U_0 for which this acceleration is balanced by dissipation. As $\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_c$ this simple model becomes exact because both U and the eddy flux divergence become exactly harmonic. For $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c$, the structure of $-\partial_z \langle u'w' \rangle$ deviates from harmonic and we estimate the equilibrium jet amplitude by projecting the acceleration onto the assumed harmonic jet structure.

We illustrate the simple momentum balance model for $\varepsilon = 0.08$ and $m_j/2\pi = 6$ in figure 15, which shows the estimated acceleration (solid) and dissipation (dashed) of the jet as functions of the jet amplitude U_0 . The dissipation, $(r_m + \nu m_j^2)U_0$, increases linearly with U_0 . For small U_0 the acceleration is stronger than the dissipation, consistent with spontaneous jet formation as a linear instability for these parameters. Due to the negative curvature of the acceleration as a function of U_0 the two terms balance near $U_0 \approx 0.65$, which gives the simple model estimate of the equilibrium jet amplitude. The vertical dotted line indicates the equilibrium jet strength in the full S3T system. For this value of ε the simple model captures the equilibration dynamics, implying that modification of $\overline{N^2}$ and changes in U structure do not play important roles in the weak equilibration process. The simple model estimate of $\max(U)$ as a function of ε is shown in figure 14 (a) as the dotted curve. The model estimate matches the results of the full calculation as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_c$ and diverges from the full solution as ε increases.

As ε is increased, the global minimum of $\overline{Ri}$ falls further below $1/4$ and the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ state becomes secondarily unstable just beyond $\varepsilon = 0.54$. Although this instability occurs when U is near the laminar stability boundary, which is modified from $\overline{Ri} = 1/4$ by the presence of dissipation and by our choice of a finite periodic domain which quantizes the permitted perturbation horizontal wavenumbers, we emphasize that this secondary instability is a property of the S3T dynamics, rather than of the perturbation dynamics determined by the operator $\mathbf{A}_n$. In particular, the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ state remains hydrodynamically stable at all times during the instability development. Figures 16 (a) and (b) show the time evolution of U and N^2 during the development of the secondary




FIGURE 16. Secondary instability of S3T fixed point corresponding to the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet state for $\varepsilon = 1$, with other parameters as in figure 2. The upper panels show Hovmöller diagrams of (a) U and (b) $\bar{N}^2$. The lower panel (c) shows the kinetic energy evolution. This figure shows that for strong excitation the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet state is unstable to the development of a global vertical wavenumber 2 pattern in U that modifies the structure of the jet to produce wider shear regions and stronger jets.

instability for $\varepsilon = 1$. The jet structure near $t = 30$ reveals that the 6-fold symmetry of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet state is spontaneously broken by the instability. As the instability develops the $U > 0$ jets near $z = 0.2$ and $z = 0.7$ contract and weaken while their neighbouring $U < 0$ jets strengthen and expand. Similarly, the $U < 0$ jets near $z = 0.5$ and $z = 0.9$ contract and weaken while their neighbouring $U > 0$ jets strengthen and expand. The particular locations of the strengthening and weakening jets are the result of the symmetry breaking and so depend on the noise included in the initialization. Figure 16 (c) shows the evolution of kinetic energy during the instability. The changes in the jet structure are associated with an increase in the mean kinetic energy as the broadening of the jet pattern allows U to strengthen while maintaining a hydrodynamically stable shear. Secondary instabilities of finite amplitude jets that result in broader jet patterns also occur in the barotropic β -plane system and have been analyzed using S3T by Constantinou *et al.* (2014).

The structure of the horizontal mean state before and after the development of the secondary instability for $\varepsilon = 1$ is shown in figure 17. Prior to the instability development ($t = 10$, dotted) the structure is similar to that shown for $\varepsilon = 0.54$ in figures 14 (b)-(e) and is characterized by an $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet and weakened stratification in the shear maxima. The U profile of the final equilibrium structure ($t = 50$, solid) contains shear regions with two distinct widths which are associated with distinct phase relationships between U and N^2 . For the wider shear regions, the U profile inflects in the centre of the shear region and $\bar{N}^2$ is locally maximized there, resulting in $\bar{Ri} \gtrsim 1/4$. The narrower shear regions are similar to those that precede the secondary instability development and have $\bar{Ri} < 1/4$.




FIGURE 17. Vertical structure of the horizontal mean state in the S3T system before and after the development of the secondary instability for $\varepsilon = 1$, with other parameters as in figure 2. Panels are as in figure 5 with dotted curves showing the structure for $t = 10$ and solid curves showing the structure for $t = 50$. This figure shows how the structure of the horizontal mean state is reorganized by the secondary instability. The unstable equilibrium state at $t = 10$ has $\overline{Ri} < 1/4$ in regions of maximum shear and minimum stratification. The final equilibrium state has shear regions of two different widths in which the broader shear regions have $\overline{Ri} > 1/4$ due to enhanced stratification and reduced shear in the cores of the shear regions.

8. Existence of Multiple Turbulent Equilibria

In §6 we showed that the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet structure that emerges in the NL system corresponds to the eigenmode of the linearized S3T system that has the fastest growth rate. However, figure 13 (a) shows that, for the standard parameter case, all jet structures in the wavenumber band $1 \leq m_j/2\pi \leq 10$ have positive growth rates. The subdominant eigenmodes (*i.e.*, those with $m_j/2\pi \neq 6$) continue to finite-amplitude jet equilibria at the corresponding wavenumbers. These equilibria may or may not be stable. In this section we demonstrate that multiple turbulent equilibrium states are possible in 2D Boussinesq turbulence by providing an example of such an alternate stable equilibrium in the S3T and NL systems.

In figures 18 (a) and (c) we show the development of U in the NL and S3T systems in an example in which the parameters are set to the standard values (as in figure 2) but the initial conditions are chosen to preferentially produce an $m_j/2\pi = 4$ jet structure. The NL system is initialized with a mean flow $U \propto \sin(m_j z)$ for $m_j/2\pi = 4$ and the S3T system is initialized with the same U profile and $\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{C}_n^*$. In the S3T system this initial condition evolves into a stable $m_j/2\pi = 4$ fixed point. In the NL system the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ turbulent equilibrium is maintained for the length of the integration. Due to noise in the NL system, the turbulence may eventually transition to another equilibrium state, such as the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ state discussed in §2. The development of $\overline{N^2}$ for this example is shown in figures 18 (b) and (d). As in the previous examples of equilibria, $\overline{N^2}$ has a doubled vertical wavenumber relative to U and is more variable than U in the NL system. The vertical structure of the horizontal mean state is shown in figure 19. The jet structure (panels (a,b)) resembles a sawtooth in both the NL (dotted) and S3T (solid) systems. The phase relationship between U and $\overline{N^2}$ (panel (c)) shares some features with that shown in figure 14 for the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ equilibrium with $\varepsilon = 0.54$. In particular, the weakest values of $\overline{N^2}$ occur in the centres of the shear regions. The excitation strength $\varepsilon = 0.25$ falls in a transitional range for the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ equilibrium in which $\overline{N^2}$ has an




FIGURE 18. Time evolution of the horizontal mean structure of the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ equilibrium state in the NL and S3T systems. Panels show Hovmöller diagrams of (a) U and (b) $\overline{N^2}$ in the NL system and (c) U and (d) $\overline{N^2}$ in the S3T system. This figure shows that when initialized with a finite-amplitude $m_j/2\pi = 4$ jet structure the NL system maintains this structure, resulting in a turbulent equilibrium state different from that discussed in §2 for the same parameter values, and that this alternate equilibrium state is also a fixed point of the S3T system. Parameters are as in figure 2.

approximately $m_B/2\pi = 16$ structure. As ε is increased (not shown), the stratification in the shear centres continues to weaken, producing density layers at these locations, and the stratification near the jet peaks is enhanced.

Figure 20 shows the evolution of kinetic energy in the NL and S3T systems. Consistent with the results for the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ equilibrium in §5, the equilibrium value of $\overline{K}$ in the S3T system exceeds that of NL system. In both systems, the broader jets of the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ equilibrium are more energetic than the jets of the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ equilibrium. This is consistent with the behaviour shown in figure 16 (c) in which the broadened jets resulting from the secondary instability are more energetic than the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jets that precede the instability.

When making direct comparisons of the NL, QL, and S3T systems the existence of multiple equilibria must be considered. We present in §2 and §5 example simulations of the NL and QL systems that form $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jet structures reflecting the fastest-growing eigenmode of the S3T system. We note, however, that the NL system, when initialized from rest, frequently forms stable jet structures with $m_j/2\pi = 7$ or $m_j/2\pi = 8$, and that in fact these higher wavenumber structures occur somewhat more frequently than the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ structure that we primarily discuss. The tendency of the NL system to form jets with smaller scale than that which is predicted by the S3T system when identical parameter values are used has been explained by Constantinou *et al.* (2014) as resulting




FIGURE 19. Vertical structure of the horizontal mean state of the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ equilibrium in the NL and S3T systems. Panels are as in figure 5 with dotted curves showing the time-averaged structure over $t \in [22, 45]$ for the NL system and solid curves showing the final fixed point structure for the S3T system. Parameters are as in figure 2.




FIGURE 20. Kinetic energy evolution in the NL and S3T systems initialized with $m_j/2\pi = 4$ jets. This figure shows that, as for the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ equilibrium, the jets in the S3T system are more energetic than those in the NL system, and comparison with figure 9 shows that in both the NL and S3T systems the $m_j/2\pi = 4$ jets are more energetic than the $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jets. Parameters are as in figure 2.

from the modification of the background spectrum of the NL system by the eddy-eddy nonlinear interactions that are retained in the NL system but not in the QL or S3T systems.

9. Reflection of the S3T Bifurcation in the NL and QL Systems

In §5 we compared the behaviour of the NL, QL, and S3T systems with all parameter values fixed. Comparing the three systems in this way allows for a detailed comparison of the structures of the mean state and of the turbulent spectra to be made. However, our analysis of the S3T system has revealed phenomena, including the bifurcation associated with the initial formation of the jets, that can be identified only by varying the control parameters. We now compare the behaviour of the three systems as a function of the excitation strength, ε , using the zonostrophy index, $Z = \bar{K}/(\bar{K} + K')$, which measures the fraction of the total kinetic energy that is contained in the mean flow.




FIGURE 21. Zonostrophy indices of the NL, QL, and S3T systems as a function of ε , with other parameters as in figure 2. This figure shows that the bifurcation through which jets form in the deterministic S3T system is reflected in the behaviour of the NL and QL systems, which show an abrupt increase in the fraction of kinetic energy contained in the mean flow near the S3T bifurcation point.

Figure 21 shows the equilibrium value of Z as a function of ε for the NL, QL, and S3T systems. As all three systems possess multiple equilibria, there is some ambiguity as to the meaning of the equilibrium energies. For the S3T system we show the maximum value of Z obtained when the system is initialized with a jet structure at each unstable wavenumber m_j . For the NL and QL systems we initialize from rest and show the time average of Z over the final 100 time units of a $t \in [0, 400]$ integration. As many long integrations are required for this comparison, the numerical calculations in this section are performed using a lower resolution of 128 grid points in both the x and z directions.

As discussed in §6, the S3T system passes through a bifurcation near $\varepsilon \approx 0.04$. This bifurcation is reflected in the zonostrophy indices of the QL and NL systems. For $\varepsilon \lesssim 0.04$ the jets have only a few percent of the total kinetic energy of the flow. As ε increases beyond the S3T bifurcation point, Z increases rapidly and the jets become energetically dominant. As was found in §5, the S3T jets are the most energetic and the QL jets tend to be more energetic than the NL jets. The slight decrease in the QL and NL zonostrophy indices as ε is increased is due to the tendency of those systems to maintain $m_j/2\pi = 6$ jets at those values of ε , which is not the most energetic jet structure. The S3T curve does not show this decrease as we choose the most energetic jet equilibrium to define the S3T equilibrium zonostrophy. This maximally energetic jet equilibrium tends to have a somewhat lower vertical wavenumber than that of the fastest growing eigenmode, as discussed in §8. Note also the characteristic increase in fluctuating jet amplitude in the NL and QL cases as the bifurcation point is approached. This results from excitation of the reflection in QL and NL of the stable modes of the S3T system. These modes are excited by the noise inherent in the QL and NL systems while no such excitation is seen in the noise-free S3T system (Constantinou *et al.* 2014).

10. Conclusions

In this work we studied the formation and maintenance of horizontal mean structure in stratified turbulence by applying SSD to the stochastically excited 2D Boussinesq equations. Although highly simplified, the 2D Boussinesq system has previously been shown to reflect the properties of large scale structure emergence in 3D (Smith 2001; Smith & Waleffe 2002). Our analysis focused on the strongly stratified regime relevant

to the geophysical EDJ and QBO systems. Using the S3T implementation of SSD, we showed that horizontal mean structure forms spontaneously in this system through the mechanism of cooperative interaction between the turbulent field and the mean state. Wave-mean flow interaction is understood to be the mechanism responsible for the formation and maintenance of the QBO (Holton & Lindzen 1972) and has also been hypothesized to support the formation and maintenance of the EDJs (Muench & Kunze 1999; Ascani *et al.* 2015). The 2D Boussinesq system is a minimal dynamical model that captures horizontal structure formation in stratified turbulence, similar to the role played by the barotropic β -plane system in planetary-scale jet formation. Study of the 2D Boussinesq system provides insight into turbulent jet formation in general. Unlike the β -plane system, the Boussinesq equations do not have a conserved potential vorticity and so this stratified turbulence provides a test of the role played by conservation laws in the formation of jets. We find that jet formation occurs robustly in spite of the absence of such a conservation law.

An aspect of horizontal mean structure formation in stratified turbulence that we highlight in this work is the modification of the horizontal mean stratification, concomitant with jet formation, that results in the formation of horizontal mean density layers. When jets emerge in turbulence, they typically dominate the velocity field at equilibrium and are clearly visible in the instantaneous flow. The associated changes in the stratification, however, are relatively weak and are obscured by turbulent fluctuations. Time averaging reveals the structure of the modified stratification, which agrees well with the predictions of the S3T system. Stratified turbulence thus provides an example in which the horizontal mean state is characterized by ‘manifest’ and ‘latent’ (Berloff *et al.* 2009) structures simultaneously.

The primary contribution of this work is to explain the dynamics of horizontal mean structure formation and equilibration in stratified turbulence using SSD. We developed and applied the S3T equations for this system and showed that the behaviour observed in nonlinear simulations mirrors that of the S3T system. S3T provides a deterministic and autonomous dynamical system that describes the time evolution and equilibria of the statistical state of the turbulence under the assumptions of neglect of the eddy-eddy nonlinearities and that the ergodic assumption equating horizontal and ensemble averages is valid. The S3T system provides tools and yields insights that are useful for understanding turbulent structure formation. For example, test function analysis was used in §3 to calculate the statistical mean turbulent eddy fluxes in the presence of an imposed horizontal mean structure. This tool yields the insight that jets form by modifying the fluxes in such a way as to reinforce the jet structure, and explains the specific horizontal mean structure maintained in turbulent equilibrium as being the structure for which the fluxes balance dissipation while not distorting the structure itself. Analysis of the S3T system also allows for the identification of phenomena that are difficult to capture or anticipate in the presence of turbulent fluctuations. For example, the linear stability analysis carried out in §6 shows that jet formation occurs via a linear instability of the underlying homogeneous turbulent state. The growth rate of this instability crosses zero as the strength of the stochastic excitation is increased beyond a critical threshold, resulting in a supercritical bifurcation. This bifurcation behaviour is reflected in the dynamics of the un-approximated fluctuating system. As an additional example, the S3T system predicts the existence of multiple simultaneously stable turbulent equilibria with different horizontal mean structures. This property of stratified turbulence has not previously been emphasized and might be unexpected from the perspective of nonlinear cascade constrained by conservation laws. From the

perspective of S3T as an autonomous and nonlinear dynamical system the existence of multiple equilibria is not surprising.

The authors acknowledge valuable comments from Navid Constantinou. J. G. F. was partially supported by a doctoral fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. B. F. F. was partially supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. NSF AGS-1246929.

Appendix A. A Low Order Truncation of 2D Boussinesq Turbulence Illustrating S3T

In this Appendix we construct a highly-truncated low-order model (LOM) of the stochastically excited 2D Boussinesq system. A similar approach has been applied to the stochastically excited barotropic β -plane system (Majda *et al.* 1999). We first formulate the model, which is expressed as a few coupled ordinary differential equations, and then proceed to derive the S3T equations for this system. This demonstration serves to illustrate the analytical techniques used in this paper in the context of a simple set of equations. Moreover, we find that this highly-truncated model accurately captures certain aspects of the full 2D system.

To obtain the LOM, we choose the stochastic excitation, $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$, to excite a single standing wave mode so that $S \propto \sin(kx)\sin(mz)$ and analyze the interaction between the excited mode and a horizontal mean flow with vertical wavenumber m_j . We neglect the horizontal mean buoyancy, B , as we focus on the jet-forming linear instability in which B plays no role (see §6), and set $\nu = 0$. We write the perturbation streamfunction, ψ' , the perturbation buoyancy, b' , and the mean flow, U , in the form of low-order Fourier truncations as

$$\psi'(x, z, t) = \psi_e \sin(kx) \sin(mz) + \psi_+ \cos(kx) \cos((m + m_j)z), \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

$$b'(x, z, t) = b_e \cos(kx) \sin(mz) + b_+ \sin(kx) \cos((m + m_j)z), \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

$$U(z, t) = U \sin(m_j z). \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

We choose to retain these terms because the interaction between U and the excited wave, (ψ_e, b_e) , produces sum and difference wavenumber components including the sheared wavenumber component, (ψ_+, b_+) . The difference wavenumber component, (ψ_-, b_-) , is also produced. For simplicity of the present development we write equations with only the $+$ terms included, but the results we show in this Appendix are calculated using a version of the LOM that includes both the $+$ and $-$ components.

To obtain the equations of motion for the coefficients we substitute the expansion (A 1)-(A 3) into the QL equations (4.1)-(4.4) and project each term onto the structure functions. For example, the contribution to the ψ_e equation from the mean flow interaction terms in the vorticity equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(-\frac{k_e^2}{4}\right)^{-1} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz (\sin(kx) \sin(mz)) (-U \partial_x \Delta \psi' + (\partial_x \psi') U_{zz}) \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k_e^2} (k_+^2 - m_j^2) U \psi_+, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

in which $k_e^2 = k^2 + m^2$ and $k_+^2 = k^2 + (m + m_j)^2$. The LOM is most compactly written in vector-matrix form. Defining the state vectors of the excited and sheared

wave components as $\phi_e = (\psi_e, b_e)^T$ and $\phi_+ = (\psi_+, b_+)^T$ we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi}_e \\ \dot{\phi}_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W}_e & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{W}_+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e \\ \phi_+ \end{pmatrix} + U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{L}_{e,+} \\ \mathbf{L}_{+,e} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e \\ \phi_+ \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

$$\dot{U} = \frac{1}{4}k(k_+^2 - k_e^2)\psi_e\psi_+ - r_m U, \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

where ε is the energy injection rate and $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\eta(8/k_e^2)^{1/2}, 0, 0, 0)^T$ where η is Gaussian white noise with unit variance. The operators $\mathbf{W}_e$ and $\mathbf{W}_+$ encode the gravity wave dynamics of the excited and sheared components and are given by

$$\mathbf{W}_e = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & k/k_e^2 \\ -kN_0^2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -k/k_+^2 \\ kN_0^2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

The operators $\mathbf{L}_{e,+}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{+,e}$ encode the interactions between the mean flow and the perturbations and are given by

$$\mathbf{L}_{e,+} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{k}{2k_e^2}(k_+^2 - m_j^2) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{k}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{+,e} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{k}{2k_+^2}(m_j^2 - k_e^2) & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{k}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A } 10)$$

Equation (A 5) is the LOM analog of the QL perturbation equation (4.7). As in the QL system, the mean flow U forms spontaneously in the LOM under certain parameter conditions due to feedbacks between U and the perturbation statistics. Figure 22 shows the time evolution of U and of the eddy momentum flux divergence (which we denote R , for Reynolds stress) in the LOM. The mean flow develops by $t = 25$ and exhibits red noise fluctuations. The momentum flux divergence fluctuates rapidly, sometimes strongly opposing U . The time average values of U and R are indicated by the black dashed lines. These results demonstrate the complexity of the LOM ‘turbulence’. The statistical equilibrium state is characterized by the presence of large fluctuations that obscure the processes that generate and maintain the mean flow.

We now illustrate the S3T closure technique in the simplified context of the LOM. Defining the complete perturbation state vector as $\phi = (\phi_e^T, \phi_+^T)^T$, the instantaneous covariance matrix of the perturbations, prior to ensemble averaging, is $\mathbf{C}_{stoch} = \phi\phi^T$. By Itô’s lemma,

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{stoch} = \mathbf{A}(U)\mathbf{C}_{stoch} + \mathbf{C}_{stoch}\mathbf{A}(U)^T + \varepsilon\mathbf{Q} + \phi\xi^T + \xi\phi^T. \quad (\text{A } 11)$$

Here $\mathbf{Q}$ is the ensemble mean excitation covariance, which is the 4×4 matrix with $\mathbf{Q}_{11} = 8/k_e^2$ and all other entries zero, and the operator $\mathbf{A}(U)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{A}(U) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W}_e & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{W}_+ \end{pmatrix} + U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{L}_{e,+} \\ \mathbf{L}_{+,e} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A } 12)$$

We obtain the S3T dynamics by taking the ensemble averages of (A 6) and (A 11) under the ergodic assumption that horizontal and ensemble averages are equivalent so that $U = \langle U \rangle$. The stochastic terms in (A 11) vanish upon averaging and the S3T equations




FIGURE 22. Evolution of the LOM system state in the original stochastic system (thin curves) and the corresponding S3T system (thick curves). (a) Mean flow U . (b) Eddy momentum flux divergence R . Time average values over $t \in [100, 200]$ in the stochastic system are indicated by dashed lines. The values of the control parameters are $\varepsilon = .01$, $N_0^2 = 100$, $r_m = 0.1$, and $(k, m, m_j) = 2\pi(6, 3, 7)$. This figure shows that the trajectory of the stochastic LOM is made complicated by large fluctuations but that the average behaviour of the system is captured by the deterministic S3T dynamics.

of motion are

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{A}(U)\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}(U)^T + \varepsilon\mathbf{Q}, \quad (\text{A } 13)$$

$$\dot{U} = R - r_m U, \quad (\text{A } 14)$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \langle \mathbf{C}_{stoch} \rangle$, $R = (1/4)k(k_+^2 - k_e^2)\mathbf{C}_{13}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{13} = \langle \psi_e \psi_+ \rangle$. The thick curves in figures 22 (a) and (b) show the time evolution of the S3T state. The S3T dynamics captures the time evolution of the mean flow as well as the time average of the rapidly-fluctuating eddy momentum flux divergence.

Although working in the S3T formalism introduces some abstraction, the S3T equations provide understanding by enabling direct interpretation and analysis of the second-order statistical relationships that are made explicit in S3T. A statistical quantity of central interest is $\langle \psi_e \psi_+ \rangle$, which is proportional to R and so directly drives the mean flow. The S3T dynamics of $\langle \psi_e \psi_+ \rangle$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi_e \psi_+ \rangle = & -2 \langle \psi_e \psi_+ \rangle + \frac{k}{k_e^2} \langle b_e \psi_+ \rangle - \frac{k}{k_+^2} \langle \psi_e b_+ \rangle \\ & - \frac{k}{2k_+^2} (m_j^2 - k_e^2) U \langle \psi_e^2 \rangle - \frac{k}{2k_e^2} (k_+^2 - m_j^2) U \langle \psi_+^2 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 15)$$

which is the (1, 3) component of (A 13). The direct feedback between U and R is expressed in the fourth term on the right-hand side (RHS) of (A 15). For our parameter choices $(m_j^2 - k_e^2) > 0$ so this feedback suppresses jet formation. The flux divergence R is instead produced by covariances involving the buoyancy field, expressed in the second and third terms on the RHS of (A 15). These covariances are in turn produced through direct interactions with U which are expressed in other components of (A 13).

Mean flow formation in the LOM can be understood through linear stability analysis of the S3T system in analogy with §6. The jet-free fixed point of the S3T equations is




FIGURE 23. Comparison between the S3T LOM (solid curves) and the full S3T dynamics when excited analogously using a single Fourier component (dots), referred to as S3T- δ . (a) Growth rate of the jet-forming linear instability, maximized over all mean flow wavenumbers, as a function of N_0^2 for $\epsilon = 0.5$. (b) Equilibrium amplitude of the $m_j/2\pi = 7$ mean flow as a function of ϵ for $N_0^2 = 100$. The control parameters are $(k, m) = 2\pi(6, 3)$ and $r_m = 0.1$. This figure shows that the S3T LOM accurately captures the jet-forming instability, indicating that the highly simplified LOM has correct physics at the linear level and can be used to understand the process of jet formation. The jet equilibration process, however, is not captured correctly even for weakly supercritical excitation strengths.

obtained by solving (A 13) with $U = 0$, which gives

$$\mathbf{C}_{11}^* = \frac{2\epsilon}{k_e^2} \left(2 - \frac{k^2 N_0^2}{k_e^2 + k^2 N_0^2} \right), \quad (\text{A } 16)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{12}^* = -\frac{2\epsilon k N_0^2}{k_e^2 + k^2 N_0^2}, \quad (\text{A } 17)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{22}^* = \frac{2\epsilon k^2 N_0^4}{k_e^2 + k^2 N_0^2}, \quad (\text{A } 18)$$

with $\mathbf{C}_{21}^* = \mathbf{C}_{12}^*$ and the other elements of $\mathbf{C}^*$ being zero. Linearizing equations (A 13)-(A 14) about the fixed point $(\mathbf{C}, U) = (\mathbf{C}^*, 0)$ we find that mean flow perturbations δU evolve together with covariance matrix perturbations of the form

$$\delta \mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta \mathbf{C}^{e,+} \\ (\delta \mathbf{C}^{e,+})^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A } 19)$$

independently of perturbations to the other elements of $\mathbf{C}$, according to the linearized equations

$$\delta \dot{\mathbf{C}}^{e,+} = \mathbf{W}_e \delta \mathbf{C}^{e,+} + \delta \mathbf{C}^{e,+} (\mathbf{W}_+)^T + \delta U (\mathbf{C}^{e,e})^* (\mathbf{L}_{+,e})^T, \quad (\text{A } 20)$$

$$\delta \dot{U} = -r_m \delta U + (1/4)k(k_+^2 - k_e^2) \delta \mathbf{C}_{11}^{e,+}, \quad (\text{A } 21)$$

in which $(\mathbf{C}^{e,e})^*$ denotes the upper-left nonzero 2×2 submatrix of $\mathbf{C}^*$. This system of five linear ODEs can be re-arranged as a 5×5 matrix-vector system and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be calculated as usual. For sufficiently strong excitation, the dominant eigenvalue has a positive real part and the jet-free fixed point is unstable to eigenmodes associated with jet formation. Figure 23 (a) (solid curve) shows the instability growth rate as a function of N_0^2 , maximized over all mean flow wavenumbers m_j .

At the level of the linear jet-forming instability, the S3T dynamics of the LOM captures the dynamics of the full S3T system (6.8)-(6.10) when the excitation is appropriately chosen. Figure 23 (a) (dots) shows the instability growth rate in the full S3T dynamics

when $\sqrt{\varepsilon}S$ is chosen such that only the $(k, m) = 2\pi(6, 3)$ Fourier component, and the mirror symmetry components required for homogeneity, are excited. We refer to this configuration of the S3T system as S3T- δ as the excitation spectrum consists of delta functions. Although this excitation is not identical to the LOM excitation, which is not homogeneous, the correspondence between the growth rates indicates that the LOM accurately captures the dynamics of the full S3T system in this case. We note that, in contrast to the results of §6, the growth rate remains positive as $N_0^2 \rightarrow 0$ for this choice of anisotropic excitation.

Although the LOM correctly captures the jet-forming linear instability, it fails to capture the finite-amplitude equilibration of the jets. Figure 23 (b) shows the fixed point value of U as a function of ε for the S3T dynamics of the LOM alongside $\max(U)$ for the S3T- δ system. Although the mean flow in the LOM S3T dynamics forms through a bifurcation at the same value of ε as in the full S3T dynamics, the LOM does not capture the equilibrium amplitude of the jet even very near the bifurcation point. This failure of the LOM occurs because the dynamics of weak jet equilibration, as explained in §7, are related to the negative curvature of the flux divergence as a function of the jet strength (see figure 15). This curvature is due to the shearing of perturbations to higher vertical wavenumbers that are not included in the LOM.

Appendix B. The Covariance Matrix of Homogeneous Turbulence

In this Appendix we show details of the analytical solution of the time-independent Lyapunov equation (6.1). We define the $N \times N$ submatrices of $\mathbf{C}_n^*$ as

$$\mathbf{C}_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} & \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n}^\dagger & \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Defining $\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{I} - \nu\Delta_n$ and $\mathbf{M}_n = \Delta_n^{-1}$ we expand (6.1) into the three independent matrix equations

$$-\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} + ik_n \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n}^\dagger - \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} \mathbf{R}_n - ik_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} \mathbf{M}_n = -\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\psi\psi,n}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$-\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} + ik_n \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} + ik_n N_0^2 \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} - \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} \mathbf{R}_n = 0, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$-ik_n N_0^2 \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} - \mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} + ik_n N_0^2 \mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n}^\dagger - \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} \mathbf{R}_n = 0. \quad (\text{B4})$$

We note that for our boundary conditions and excitation the matrices $\mathbf{R}_n$, $\mathbf{M}_n$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\psi\psi,n}$ are real, symmetric, and circulant, and that inverses, products, and sums of circulant matrices are circulant (Davis 1978). The form of (B2)-(B4) suggests that we seek solutions with $\mathbf{C}_{\psi b,n} = i\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n}$ and real $\mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n}$, $\mathbf{C}_{bb,n}$. We further seek solutions in which $\mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{bb,n}$ are also circulant and symmetric, corresponding to homogeneous turbulence. Using these properties we rewrite (B2)-(B4) as

$$-2\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} + 2k_n \mathbf{M}_n \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n} = -\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\psi\psi,n}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$-2\mathbf{R}_n \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n} + k_n N_0^2 \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} + k_n \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} = 0, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$-2\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{C}_{bb,n} + 2k_n N_0^2 \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n} = 0. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Equations (B5)-(B7) can be solved to give

$$\mathbf{C}_{bb,n} = k_n N_0^2 \mathbf{R}_n^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\psi b,n} = -k_n N_0^2 [-2\mathbf{R}_n + k_n^2 N_0^2 \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{R}_n^{-1}]^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\psi\psi,n} = \left\{ 2\mathbf{R}_n + 2k_n^2 N_0^2 \mathbf{M}_n [-2\mathbf{R}_n + k_n^2 N_0^2 \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{R}_n^{-1}]^{-1} \right\}^{-1} \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\psi\psi,n}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

Equations (B8)-(B10) can be inverted to obtain $\mathbf{C}_n^*$ explicitly in terms of $\mathbf{Q}_{\psi\psi,n}$ and constitute our final solution for the homogeneous turbulent fixed point.

REFERENCES

- ANSTEY, J. & SHEPHERD, T. 2014 High-latitude influence of the quasi-biennial oscillation. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.* **140**, 1–21.
- ASCANI, F., FIRING, E., MCCREARY, J. P., BRANDT, P. & GREATBATCH, R. J. 2015 The deep equatorial ocean circulation in wind-forced numerical solutions*. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **45**, 1709–1734.
- BAKAS, N. A., CONSTANTINOU, N. C. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2015 S3T stability of the homogeneous state of barotropic beta-plane turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **72**, 1689–1712.
- BAKAS, N. A. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2011 Structural stability theory of two-dimensional fluid flow under stochastic forcing. *J. Fluid Mech.* **682**, 332–361.
- BAKAS, N. A. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2013 Emergence of large scale structure in barotropic beta-plane turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 224501.
- BAKAS, N. A. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2013 On the mechanism underlying the spontaneous emergence of barotropic zonal jets. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **70**, 2251–2271.
- BAKAS, N. A. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2014 A theory for the emergence of coherent structures in beta-plane turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **740**, 312–341.
- BALDWIN, M. P., GRAY, L. J., DUNKERTON, T. J., HAMILTON, K., HAYNES, P. H., RANDEL, W. J., HOLTON, J. R., ALEXANDER, M. J., HIROTA, I., HORINOUCI, T., JONES, D. B. A., KINNERSLEY, J. S., MARQUARDT, C., SATO, K. & TAKAHASHI, M. 2001 The quasi-biennial oscillation. *Rev. Geophys.* **39**, 179–229.
- BERLOFF, P., KAMENKOVICH, I. & PEDLOSKY, J. 2009 A mechanism of formation of multiple zonal jets in the oceans. *J. Fluid Mech.* **628**, 395–425.
- BERNSTEIN, J. & FARRELL, B. F. 2010 Low-frequency variability in a turbulent baroclinic jet: Eddy-mean flow interactions in a two-level model. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **67**, 452–467.
- BRANDT, P., FUNK, A., HORMANN, V., DENGLER, M., GREATBATCH, R. J. & TOOLE, J. M. 2011 Interannual atmospheric variability forced by the deep equatorial Atlantic Ocean. *Nature* **473**, 497–500.
- BRANDT, P., GREATBATCH, R. J. & CLAUS, M. 2012 Ventilation of the equatorial Atlantic by the equatorial deep jets. *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**, C12015.
- BRETHOUWER, G., BILLANT, P., LINDBORG, E. & CHOMAZ, J. M. 2007 Scaling analysis and simulation of strongly stratified turbulent flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* **585**, 343–368.
- CHILD, A., HOLLERBACH, R. & MARSTON, J. B. 2016 Generalised quasilinear approximation of the helical magnetorotational instability. *J. Plasma Phys.* **82**, 905820302.
- CONSTANTINOU, N. C., FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2014 Emergence and equilibration of jets in beta-plane turbulence: Applications of stochastic structural stability theory. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **71**, 1818–1842.
- CONSTANTINOU, N. C., FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2016 Statistical state dynamics of jet-wave coexistence in barotropic beta-plane turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **73**, 2229–2253.
- DAVIS, P. J. 1978 *Circulant Matrices*. Wiley-Interscience.
- DOLE, R., HOERLING, M., PERLWITZ, J., EISCHEID, J., PEGION, P., ZHANG, T., QUAN, X. W., XU, T. & MURRAY, D. 2011 Was there a basis for anticipating the 2010 Russian heat wave? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38**, L06702.
- EDEN, C. & DENGLER, M. 2008 Stacked jets in the deep equatorial Atlantic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, C04003.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 1993 Stochastic dynamics of baroclinic waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **50**, 4044–4057.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 1993 Transient development of perturbations in stratified shear flow. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **50**, 2201–2214.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 1996 Generalized stability theory. Part I: Autonomous operators. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **53**, 2025–2040.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2002 Perturbation growth and structure in uncertain flows. Part II. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **59**, 2647–2664.

- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2003 Structural stability of turbulent jets. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **60**, 2101–2118.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2007 Structure and spacing of jets in barotropic turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **64**, 3652–3665.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2008 Formation of jets by baroclinic turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **65**, 3353–3375.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2009 A stochastic structural stability theory model of the drift wave-zonal flow system. *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 112903.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2009a A theory of baroclinic turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **66**, 2444–2454.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2009b Emergence of jets from turbulence in the shallow-water equations on an equatorial beta plane. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **66**, 3197–3207.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2012 Dynamics of streamwise rolls and streaks in turbulent wall-bounded shear flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* **708**, 149–196.
- FARRELL, B. F. & IOANNOU, P. J. 2016 Statistical state dynamics: A new perspective on turbulence in shear flow. In *Zonal Jets* (ed. B. Galperin & P. L. Read). Cambridge University Press.
- FARRELL, B. F., IOANNOU, P. J. & NIKOLAIDIS, M.-A. 2016 Instability of the roll/streak structure induced by free-stream turbulence in pre-transitional Couette flow, arXiv: 1607.05018.
- FITZGERALD, J. G. & FARRELL, B. F. 2014 Mechanisms of mean flow formation and suppression in two-dimensional Rayleigh-Bénard convection. *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 054104.
- FJØRTOFT, R. 1953 On the changes in the spectral distribution of kinetic energy for two-dimensional, non-divergent flow. *Tellus* **5A**, 225–230.
- GALMICHE, M. & HUNT, J. C. R. 2002 The formation of shear and density layers in stably stratified turbulent flows: Linear processes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **455**, 243–262.
- GALMICHE, M., THUAL, O. & BONNETON, P. 2002 Direct numerical simulation of turbulence-mean field interactions in a stably stratified fluid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **455**, 213–242.
- GALPERIN, B., YOUNG, R. M. B., SUKORIANSKY, S. & DIKOVSKAYA, N. 2014 Cassini observations reveal a regime of zonostrophic macroturbulence on Jupiter. *Icarus* **229**, 295–320.
- HERRING, J. R. & MÉTAIS, O. 1989 Numerical experiments in forced stably stratified turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **202**, 97–115.
- HOLTON, JAMES R. 2004 *An Introduction to Dynamic Meteorology*. Academic Press.
- HOLTON, J. R. & LINDZEN, R. S. 1972 An updated theory for the quasi-biennial cycle of the tropical stratosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **29**, 1076–1080.
- HUA, B. L., D’ORGEVILLE, M. & FRUMAN, M. D. 2008 Destabilization of mixed Rossby gravity waves and the formation of equatorial zonal jets. *J. Fluid Mech.* **610**, 311–341.
- KAMINSKI, A. K., CAULFIELD, C. P. & TAYLOR, J. R. 2014 Transient growth in strongly stratified shear layers. *J. Fluid Mech.* **758**, R4.
- KRAICHNAN, R. H. 1967 Inertial ranges in two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. Fluids* **10**, 1417.
- MAJDA, A. J., TIMOFEYEV, I. & VANDEN EIJNDEN, E. 1999 Models for stochastic climate prediction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**, 14687–14691.
- MARSTON, J. B. 2010 Statistics of the general circulation from cumulant expansions. *Chaos* **20**, 041107.
- MARSTON, J. B. 2012 Planetary atmospheres as nonequilibrium condensed matter. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **3**, 285–310.
- MARSTON, J. B., CHINI, G. P. & TOBIAS, S. M. 2016 Generalized quasilinear approximation: Application to zonal jets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 214501.
- MARSTON, J. B., CONOVER, E. & SCHNEIDER, T. 2008 Statistics of an unstable barotropic jet from a cumulant expansion. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **65**, 1955–1966.
- MCCREARY, J. P. 1984 Equatorial beams. *J. Mar. Res.* **42**, 395–430.
- MÉNESGUEN, C., HUA, B. L., FRUMAN, M. D. & SCHOPP, R. 2009 Intermittent layering in the Atlantic equatorial deep jets. *J. Mar. Res.* **67**, 347–360.
- MUENCH, J. E. & KUNZE, E. 1999 Internal wave interactions with equatorial deep jets. Part I: Momentum-flux divergences. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **29**, 1453–1467.
- OLBERS, D., BOROWSKI, D., VOLKER, C. & WOLFF, J. O. 2004 The dynamical balance,

- transport and circulation of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Antarct. Sci.* **16**, 439–470.
- PARKER, J. B. & KROMMES, J. A. 2013 Zonal flow as pattern formation. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 100703.
- PARKER, J. B. & KROMMES, J. A. 2014 Generation of zonal flows through symmetry breaking of statistical homogeneity. *New J. Phys.* **16**, 035006.
- PLUMB, R. A. & MCEWAN, A. D. 1978 The instability of a forced standing wave in a viscous stratified fluid: A laboratory analogue of the quasi-biennial oscillation. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **35**, 1827–1839.
- READ, P. L., GIERASCH, P. J., CONRATH, B. J., SIMON-MILLER, A., FOUCHET, T. & YAMAZAKI, Y. H. 2006 Mapping potential-vorticity dynamics on Jupiter. I: Zonal-mean circulation from Cassini and Voyager 1 data. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.* **132**, 1577–1603.
- REMMEL, M., SUKHATME, J. & SMITH, L. M. 2013 Nonlinear gravity-wave interactions in stratified turbulence. *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* **28**, 131–145.
- RILEY, J. J. & LELONG, M.-P. 2000 Fluid motions in the presence of strong stable stratification. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **32**, 613–657.
- SALMON, R. 1982 Geostrophic turbulence. In *Topics in Ocean Physics* (ed. A. R. Osborne & P. M. Rizzoli). Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy.
- SEND, U., EDEN, C. & SCHOTT, F. 2002 Atlantic equatorial deep jets: Space-time structure and cross-equatorial fluxes. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **32**, 891–902.
- SMITH, L. M. 2001 Numerical study of two-dimensional stratified turbulence. In *Advances in Wave Interaction and Turbulence* (ed. P. A. Milewski, L. M. Smith, F. Waleffe & E. G. Tabak). Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- SMITH, L. M. & WALEFFE, F. 2002 Generation of slow large scales in forced rotating stratified turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **451**, 145–168.
- SRINIVASAN, K. & YOUNG, W. R. 2012 Zonostrophic instability. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **69**, 1633–1656.
- TAYLOR, J. R. 2008 Numerical simulations of the stratified oceanic bottom boundary layer. PhD thesis, University of California, San Diego.
- THOMAS, V. L., FARRELL, B. F., IOANNOU, P. J. & GAYME, D. F. 2015 A minimal model of self-sustaining turbulence. *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 105104.
- THOMAS, V. L., LIEU, B. K., JOVANOVIĆ, M. R., FARRELL, B. F., IOANNOU, P. J. & GAYME, D. F. 2014 Self-sustaining turbulence in a restricted nonlinear model of plane Couette flow. *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 105112.
- TOBIAS, S. & MARSTON, J. B. 2016 Three-dimensional rotating Couette flow via the generalised quasilinear approximation, arXiv: 1605.07410.
- TOBIAS, S. M., DAGON, K. & MARSTON, J. B. 2011 Astrophysical fluid dynamics via direct statistical simulation. *Astrophys. J.* **727**, 127.
- TOBIAS, S. M. & MARSTON, J. B. 2013 Direct statistical simulation of out-of-equilibrium jets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 104502.
- VALLIS, G. K. 2006 *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics*. Cambridge University Press.
- VASAVADA, A. R. & SHOWMAN, A. P. 2005 Jovian atmospheric dynamics: An update after Galileo and Cassini. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **68**, 1935–1996.
- WAITE, M. L. & BARTELLO, P. 2006 Stratified turbulence generated by internal gravity waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **546**, 313–339.
- WILLIAMS, G. P. 1978 Planetary circulations: 1. Barotropic representation of Jovian and terrestrial turbulence. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **35**, 1399–1426.
- WUNSCH, C. 1977 Response of an equatorial ocean to a periodic monsoon. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **7**, 497–511.
- YOUNGS, M. & JOHNSON, G. 2015 Basin-wavelength equatorial deep jet signals across three oceans*. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **45**, 2134–2148.